



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	4732	Name	Margaret	Hopkinson
Method	Survey			
Date				
	elements of the	e full response suc	h as formatting and	cil's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultatio images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk
Survey Respo	onse:			
		erall vision that	the Draft Plan sets	out for Epping Forest District?
Strongly di	sagree			
Please expl	ain your choic	e in Question 1:		
No because	e it does not p	protect the Gree	en Belt.	
2. Do you agre	ee with the ov	erall vision that	the Draft Plan sets	out for Epping Forest District?
Strongly di	sagree			
Please expl	ain your choic	e in Question 2:		
	elieve that the new houses should be strung out in a corridor. A small community like ours in ois does not have the facilities or infrastructure for so much additional housing.			
3. Do you agre	ee with the pro	oposals for devel	opment around Ha	arlow?
Agree	э тип шо р			
•	ain your choic	e in Question 3:		
Yes because	se Harlow was	built to provide	e housing for Lond out encroaching t	Ion overspill and it is better to use that land available the Green Belt.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4732 Name Margaret Hopkinson





4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Yes

Buckhurst Hill?

Loughton Broadway?

Chipping Ongar?

Loughton High Road?

Waltham Abbey?

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Yes because Epping is a thriving town and already has a good shopping area. If people want large shops however, they are happy to travel to larger towns or outlets.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Most people in this area commute to London to work. Job creation in this area will just put more strain on roads and services which are already under considerable strain from too many people.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

No - the four sites which are on green Belt definitely should not be developed. We already have difficulties with GP services here. Commuters flood in from other parts of Essex to park their cars in our village and use the Underground to save money. The transport system is already at breaking point and the roads are chaotic at rush hours.

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

I don't believe that the infrastructure will be delivered.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4732

Name Margaret

Hopkinson





8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Because we already have extra traffic so that people living outside the village can use the Underground, any increase would be a huge problem. The Sustainability Appraisal states both that "high values sites will be saved from development" AND then says "high quality Green Belt land will be lost". It can't do both.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

There is no provision for extra parking in the plan - we are already plagued by commuters parking in our streets who do not live here so that they can use the Underground to get to work. Theydon Bois is a unique, beautiful village surrounded by Forest and arable land. Once the Green Belt has been encroached our village would be ruined by huge expansion.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4732 Name Margaret Hopkinson