



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	1457	Name	Melanie	Russell
Method	Survey	_		
Date				

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

The plan does not encourage future opportunities to expand the existing community. There is planned destruction of green belt areas where birds and wild life currently thrive. The current infrastructure is not good enough. The intention to develop so many properties on SR0153, SR006933 /and SR0113B is totally disproportionate. There is no infrastructure to support such a large development and I object to the decimation of an green belt site which is part of the Essex way..

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Russell

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

This is not a release of Green belt land - it is a decimation of green belt land. There is an unfair distribution of planned development. The sewage system alone cannot cope with an increase of over 600 homes in areas SR0153, SR006933 /and SR0113B

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

This is more destruction of the Green belt

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1457 Name Melanie

1





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in				
	Epping?				
	No				
	Buckhurst Hill?				
	No opinion				
	Loughton Broadway?				
	No opinion				
	Chipping Ongar?				
	No opinion				
	Loughton High Road?				
	No opinion				
	Waltham Abbey?				
	No opinion				
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:				
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?				
	Strongly disagree				
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:				
	There is pressure to demolish businesses in order to build homes.				

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1457 Name Melanie Russell





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

The destruction of the Green Belt. The pressure on an already overused infrastructure. The loss of our status as a market town .The pressure on services and community. Lack of school places. Pressure on primary health care.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1457 Name Melanie Russell





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

There is no infrastructure delivery plan. There is no way that the Limes Medical Centre can take an increase of 50% patients and the High St Surgery is full to capacity - 3 week waiting time for appointments.

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

 The draft plan has cost pearly 52 million and is no where pear ready for proper meaningful consultation.

The draft plan has cost nearly £2 million and is no where near ready for proper meaningful consultation.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1457 Name Melanie Russell