



# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	2624	Name	Askin	Ozerin			
Me	thod	Survey						
Dat	:e							
		elements of th	e full response suc	h as formatting ar	nd images may not appea	nses to the Draft Local Plan Consultatio ar accurately. Should you wish to review It@eppingforestdc.gov.uk		
Su	rvey Respoi	nse:						
1.	Do you agre	e with the ov	erall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ets out for Epping Fore	est District?		
	Strongly dis	agree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:							
	To pinpoint large sites for development for new housing which is not in keeping with nature of Buckhurst Hill (which is predominantly small developments and infill sites) without consulting local residents properly or improving infrastructure or properly considering effect of traffic flow, in my opinion is detrimental to character of area and local people's lives.							
2.	Do you agree		verall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ets out for Epping Fore	est District?		
	Please expla	nin your choid	ce in Question 2:					
		nts above. G en investiga		l e respected ar	nd be last resort. I do	n't feel other avenues have		
3.	Agree	·	oposals for develoes	opment around	Harlow?			

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





Epping? Yes Buckhurst Hill? Yes Loughton Broadway? Yes Chipping Ongar? Yes Loughton High Road? Yes Waltham Abbey? Yes Please explain your choice in Question 4:  5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development? No opinion Please explain your choice in Question 5:	4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in
Buckhurst Hill? Yes Loughton Broadway? Yes Chipping Ongar? Yes Loughton High Road? Yes Waltham Abbey? Yes Please explain your choice in Question 4:  5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development? No opinion		Epping?
Yes Loughton Broadway? Yes Chipping Ongar? Yes Loughton High Road? Yes Waltham Abbey? Yes Please explain your choice in Question 4:  5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development? No opinion		Yes
Loughton Broadway? Yes Chipping Ongar? Yes Loughton High Road? Yes Waltham Abbey? Yes Please explain your choice in Question 4:  5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development? No opinion		Buckhurst Hill?
Yes Chipping Ongar? Yes Loughton High Road? Yes Waltham Abbey? Yes Please explain your choice in Question 4:  5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development? No opinion		Yes
Chipping Ongar? Yes Loughton High Road? Yes Waltham Abbey? Yes Please explain your choice in Question 4:  5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development? No opinion		Loughton Broadway?
Yes Loughton High Road? Yes Waltham Abbey? Yes Please explain your choice in Question 4:  5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development? No opinion		Yes
Loughton High Road? Yes Waltham Abbey? Yes Please explain your choice in Question 4:  5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development? No opinion		Chipping Ongar?
Yes Waltham Abbey? Yes Please explain your choice in Question 4:  5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development? No opinion		Yes
Waltham Abbey? Yes Please explain your choice in Question 4:  5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development? No opinion		Loughton High Road?
Yes Please explain your choice in Question 4:  5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?  No opinion		Yes
Please explain your choice in Question 4:  5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?  No opinion		Waltham Abbey?
5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?  No opinion		Yes
No opinion		Please explain your choice in Question 4:
No opinion		
	5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
Please explain your choice in Question 5:		No opinion
		Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

#### No

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

Green belt should be respected in Powell Road site. Lack of primary school places and infrastructure needs to be addressed, effect on traffic on Roebuck Lane and Stradbroke Road and Palmerston Road generally needs to

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





be considered, especially as all traffic bottle necks badly over bridge going towards Chigwell, which has endless problems.

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

## Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Insufficient local primary school places with children not being able to go to their nearest school and being offered places in Limes Farm

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





9.	Do you wish	to comment	on any other	policies in	the Draft Local Plan?
----	-------------	------------	--------------	-------------	-----------------------

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2624

Name Askin

Ozerin