

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2521 Name Elizabeth Steward

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

I agree that there is a need for new, affordable housing but the sheer scale of the proposals will overwhelm many of the local towns and villages. I do not support the proposed urban intensification and the related development of many of the valuable open spaces that currently exist in the local towns and villages.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

I support the proposal to mainly develop new homes around the outskirts of Harlow; however I do have strong concerns about the scale of development and the impact on local transport systems.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2521 Name Elizabeth Steward

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

These are the reasons for my objection to the sites that have been identified for development: 1. One of the Councils objectives is to ensure that residents continue to enjoy a good quality of life. I believe using green space currently enjoyed for recreational purposes will reduce the opportunities for residents to enjoy areas that are vital for well being. If the Council is to develop in Loughton surely there are brown field sites that should be considered first. 2. With parking already at a premium in Loughton, the use of car parks for development will reduce space still further. This is not practical if we are to increase the number of homes in the area and develop the local economy. 3. There are no details of the services that will be needed to deal with the additional populace ie schools, health, transport etc. These considerations need to be a key part of the planning. 4. The roads in much of Epping Forest are overcrowded with queues in many key areas. Additional homes will only contribute further to this problem, affecting amongst other things, the attractiveness of the area, the local economy and residents quality of life. 5. The Central Line is already running at full capacity. People will be attracted to Epping Forest because of its easy commute into London, putting even further pressure on the tube network and by default, local residents already using the line. 6.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Rather than trying to squeeze more housing into Loughton, would it not be more practical and realistic to plan for a new garden village as other Essex districts are doing.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

More details are needed.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
-

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?