



# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	2761	Name	Andre	Strampe
Method	Survey	_		
Date				

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: <a href="mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk">ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk</a>

# Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

The proposed expansion in Epping will overcrowd an already overcrowded area. Proposed new developments will damage our surroundings with the demand for better transport and recreational areas to cope with the high influx of new people. With global warming spiraling out of control every green space and tree safed will help fight this approaching disaster. We cant afford to damage our green belt by building on it, when there is so much brown belt areas around to build on. With the influx of so many people you'll need to build more schools, surgeries, bigger shops and would need to create at least one parking space per new house, in the town center. It is already a struggle to find a parking spot in the town. Im yet to see any proposed layouts for any of these. What about our residential areas being gridlocked with traffic and parking, I cant find parking space in my own street most days due to people parking up for the station and new developments will just amplified this problem witch will mean building wider roads creating more noise and air pollution.

Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Roads are gridlocked. No parking No spaces in already full schools Land south of Brook Road is liable to flooding. Destruction of wildlife and there habitat. More crime in the area and anti social behavior will increase

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





3.	Do you agree	with the	proposals	for	development	around	Harlow?
----	--------------	----------	-----------	-----	-------------	--------	---------

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Harlow north has a new spur being built onto the M11 which is great to accommodate high traffic demand and a new development off the A414 would work because it could have its own Surgeries, Schools and recreational areas.

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Yes

**Buckhurst Hill?** 

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Very minimum change and no significant negative impact on the Epping area.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

The land south of Brook Road and Ivy Chimneys is inappropriate and badly thought out. Traffic and associated problems, parking, no plans for improved infrastructure or new roads. ....Redacted.... Increase of pollution.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





Brook road and the land south of it is prone to flooding. Destruction of prime green belt which is the only buffer between Epping and the M25. Destruction of wildlife and their habitat.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





7.	Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?  Disagree
	Please explain your choice in Question 7:
	I would like to see how many schools, Gps and transport links will be build and where exactly this is planed.
8.	An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
9.	Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?  Draft Policy H 4 Traveller Site Development Redacted

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)