

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2628 Name Richard Vessey

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

Not necessary for local people. Details of proposed builds but no information as to funding and development of local infrastructure.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Release Green Belt land and there will be none for my grandchildren to enjoy. We should use Brownfield areas first. The traffic in Nazeing is overloaded now what will you do when new housing built?

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

This development is on Green Belt land which we should be conserving. Does not seem compatible with the aims of the plan.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2628 Name Richard Vessey

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

SR 0580 SR 0151 are on Hoe Lane which is very narrow and already has too many lorries using it. Any new jobs created would not be for Nazeing residents.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Sites named are Grade 1-3 Green Belt as should be kept as such. The proposed 220 houses along with the 80 odd already approved will make traffic overloaded it is already painfully slow when there is 600 more cars

using our already crowded roads it will be impossible to leave the town. I also worry about sewer problems. Local schools are already full.

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

The area around the proposed development is shown as uncongested at peak times I suggest you try using these roads. What plans are in place for water supply, sewerage, flooding after this proposed development.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Can't see any real reason to build on Primary Green Belt land. National planning policy framework does not appear to have been adhered too. As I said above the impact on our environment our village life will be seriously affected. I also worry about the effect on local wildlife and fields producing food.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Has anyone been to Nazeing before putting these plans in place? Why was no hard copy delivered to Nazeing residents many do not have internet access. The bus service in Nazeing is demishing all the time making it impossible to not use a car.
