

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4789 Name Name not given Simpson

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

No building on the Green Belt.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

N/a apart from the fact that of all the areas, Harlow has the shops and facilities to support many more homes.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4789 Name Name not given Simpson

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Buckhurst Hill?

Loughton Broadway?

Chipping Ongar?

Loughton High Road?

Waltham Abbey?

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Epping, Waltham Abbey, Loughton High Road: We only use a handful of those marked. Boarded shops - Waltham Abbey. Should the made to open facilities - maybe short leases would work here. In Waltham Abbey area charity shops find they cant find they cant earn enough to warrant staying there.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

1) Lea Valley Nursery. Crooked Mile. This is much bigger than the Parklands site and its quotes 100 homes - Parklands site 150 homes, odd. There is a need for larger houses in Waltham Abbey as well as small affordable ones. Larger homes will bring business owning people to the area. 2) The Derby Drive and Abbey Gardens car parks and adjoining areas are very important historical sites. Nothing ever should be built here. Our Abbey Gardens are well used and precious to residents 3) the Fire Station - how ridiculous to rid of the fire station that is near the M25. Move it yes, rid of it no. 4) Waltham Abbey Community Centre site. This Community Centre is constantly used by many groups. Move it to the edge of the site and modernise it yes. keeping enough car parking here is important as well as all types of groups use it as well as disabled residents. A 2 storey building with a lift or two could limit the space used but increase the area of the centre. 5) Land adjoining Parklands. Nice larger houses facing road approaching Waltham Abbey Centre There has been talk of enlarging Maxims Yard in Galley Hill. 1) Galley Hill is a residential lane serving farms, the hamlets of Aimes Green and Claverhambury Beach Barns 2) It is totally unsuitable for the 30/40 trucks that thunder up and down it. 3) There is access possibly to this site by an entrance (possibly partially formed) between the Galley

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Hill turn off and the Minefields / Parklands roundabout. 4) Screening also needs to be provided on the site of the area that looks on to Galley Hill.

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

-
7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

-
8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

-
9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

This plan would have been so much easier to understand if it was in separate regions - rather than having to trawl through loads of stuff from areas that do not concern you.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)