

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2727 Name Stephen Baker

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

The plan does not protect the green belt and does not ensure an enhanced quality of life for existing residents

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Not logical to distribute housing allocation around all settlements in the district. It should be concentrated on the towns where it will benefit from strong existing infrastructure and facilities and which can accommodate increased capacity for schools and doctors surgeries.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Although more sustainable to concentrate development on existing towns, I do not agree with the proposal for developing the smaller villages and settlements around the district which would necessarily involve encroaching on areas of Green Belt

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2727

Name Stephen

Baker

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

EFDC's plans for employment development on Green Belt sites would have adverse impact on transport links and infrastructure. New employment opportunities should be directed towards the larger sites close to those towns of the District which are keen to expand and have the capacity to expand

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Site SR0070 is not a suitable site for the following reasons: There is inadequate access from Forest Drive The land is is used and enjoyed by lots of local residents for walks and exercising dogs The surrounding area already suffers from inadequate sewage and drainage facilities. In heavy rain sewage is known to flow up from the drains and grids of the houses in Dukes Avenue. The drains are already unable to cope. In earlier times this area has been prone to flooding. Developing this site would put an intolerable burden on the access roads of Forest Drive and Dukes Avenue. 360 new homes in and around Theydon Bois would destroy the present character of the village. The present and foreseeable infrastructure cannot support this amount of growth. The local primary school would not be able to accomodate this level of increase, nor would the local surgeries.

There is anadequate infrastructure. The sites chosen are only in the local plan because they have been put forward by landowners.This is not the way an effective sustainable development strategy should be produced.

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
-

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?