

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4710 Name Sean Robert Willcox
David

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

I strongly disagree with the vision outlined by the Draft Local Plan, not for its intent but its methods. Of course electing to 'support the local economy' and provide 'jobs and infrastructure' is a positive Epping Forest, however erecting several hundred new homes but not new roads is extremely short-sighted and illogical. The traffic strain and school availability is already a problem for Epping residents.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Again, the vision of the Draft Local Plan is not at fault in its intent but the literal enforcement of said plans is logistically difficult and has very inconvenient implications in practice. Harlow is, however, a growing post-war town with enough road foundations and unused land to accommodate a small amount of homes.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4710 Name Sean Robert David Willcox

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

There are already sufficient transport provisions and facilities for the land in and around Harlow. The roads are busy traffic-wise as it is and using land to create yet more housing estates put unnecessary strain upon them.

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No

Buckhurst Hill?

No

Loughton Broadway?

No

Chipping Ongar?

No

Loughton High Road?

No

Waltham Abbey?

No

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

All over Essex, not just Epping Forest, there is a total overkill of shopping and retail areas that creates an extremely frustrating strain on the roads on weekends and (illegible) days. There are already far too many shopping hubs in the Epping Forest area and not enough variations. My suggestion would be to better use viable land to build a (illegible) or swimming pool in the Epping Town Centre.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Building new sites for employment proposals when London is so accessible is an utter waste of resources. Land is precious and basic business plans will not serve the community any better - in short, it will put far greater strain on roads.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

No sense in such a widespread development plan, and a delivery estimate of 17 years is laughable.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

The housing itself is unacceptable, and unless there is a plan that schooling at 'outstanding' level, private AND public NHS surgeries and sustainable transport under London prices is all guaranteed, the proposal is jolly.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
-

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?