

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1577 Name Laura Mackie

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

I think this low the quality of lives for residents in Epping forest. Little thought has been put into the infrastructure and protecting environment. I have failed to see evidence where this is sufficiently addressed in the plan. The 244 houses planed opposite Brook road is on prime Green belt land, it's hugely disappointing that this site is being considered rather than protected. The roads are extremely narrow and there is often gridlock on the back roads. Emergency vehicles and waste collection vechiles can often not gain access. It is also at the bottom of a hill and subject to flash flooding. This will clearly exhuberate the current problems, the infrastructure is not designed for to take this additional strain. Parking is extremely difficult and the safety of the children at Ivy Chimmenys also needs far greater conideration.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Their needs to be further thought into this, to concede and give up Green belt land is a tragedy and will mark a president in future these decisions for town planning.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1577

Name Laura

Mackie

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

I would say a large part to of the community commute into Londom from Epping. I have failed to where the additional infrastructure to support extra commuters will come from.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

244 houses on opposite Brook Road and 344 homes south of Ivy Chimmenys road on Green Belt land is completely unacceptable. I fully object to these plans I don't not believe they are sustainable or in the communities interest. Exisisting roads cannot take the current amount of traffic. There is often gridlock, commuter parking, danger to school children. This land is also liable to flooding and inappropriate for additional houses.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

There is no evidence of additional schools, GPs and transport facilities.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
-

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?