



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stak	eholder ID	4748	Name	Victor	Hills		
Meth	nod	Survey					
Date)						
	This documer 2016. Some	elements of th	e full response suc	h as formatting an	d images may not appea	onses to the Draft Local Plan Consultati ar accurately. Should you wish to revie lt@eppingforestdc.gov.uk	on W
Sur	vey Respo	nse:					
	, ,		erall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Fore	est District?	
	Strongly dis	•	ce in Question 1:				
			is all inappropr	iate			
-			аарр. ор.				
2.	Do you agre	e with the ov	erall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Fore	est District?	
!	Strongly dis	agree					
I	Please expla	ain your choic	e in Question 2:				
•	on the grou	nds that this	is appropriate				
2	De vou agre	o with the pr	operate for dove	lanmont around	larlow?		
	Strongly dis	•	oposals for deve	topment around	aditow:		
	• •	•	e in Question 3:				
	•	nds it is inap	_				
	5. ou	it is iiiup	p. op. iate				

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4748 Name Victor Hills





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in
	Epping?
	No
	Buckhurst Hill?
	No
	Loughton Broadway?
	No
	Chipping Ongar?
	No
	Loughton High Road?
	No
	Waltham Abbey?
	No
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
	Strongly disagree
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

No

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

No

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

No

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

No

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

No

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

inappropriate

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4748

Name Victor

Hills





Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)