JOHN COLLINS. DHA PLANNING.

4. To which part of the Submission Version of the Local Plan does this representation relate? (Please specify where appropriate)
Paragraph Policy SP Policies Map Policies Map
Site Reference Settlement
5. Do you consider this part of the Submission Version of the Local Plan: Please refer to the Guidance notes for an explanation of terms
a) Is Legally compliant Yes No
b) Sound Yes No
If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail*
Positively prepared Effective
Justified Consistent with national policy
Complies with the Yes No duty to co-operate
6. Please give details of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or falls to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. I you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments
PLEASE SEE ATTACHED LETTER & PTO
(NB: THE FOLLOWORD WEST BE READ ALONGSIDE THE ATTACHED LETTER.
. THE EVIDENCE BASE MUST BE BROUGHT UP TO DATE.
· SITE SELECTION SHOULD FOLLOW THE HAPF
THE BACKGROUND INFORMATION TO THE PURN CONTAINS INACCUPACIES WHICH WUST BE ADDRESSED.
(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

- 7. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.
- THE MECHANISM FOR SITE SELECTION NEEDS TO BE REVISITED SO THAT IT I'S BASED ON GENUINE AND OBJECTIVE SUSTAINABILITY CRITERIA.
- THE EVIDENCE BASE MUST BE PROBLETIONATE TO THE ORDER OF MURDITATION OF GREEN BELT POLICY.
- SITE SELECTIONS SHOULD INCLUDE LIVIA AT SITE LEVEL FOR BOTH DAY TIME AND NIGHT TIME,
- CRITERIA FOR SELECTION SHOULD BE BASED NOT ONLY DISTANCE TO FACILITIES BUT THE SAFETY AND UTILITY OF ROUTES. I.E. IF THERE IS NO PAVELLENT & NO STREET LIGHT THE SHOULD PERFORM POORLY.

(Continue or	n a separate sheet if necessary)		
8. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?			
No, I do not wish to participate at the hearings	Yes, I wish to participate at the hearings		

NEWISH TO PARICIPATE IN THE HEARINGS SO THAT
THE INSPECTOR CAN FULLY LONDERSTAND OUR
Submissions AND GONGERDS IN RESPECT OF THE:
· FAILURE OF THE COUNCIL TO PROPERLY CONSIDER REPRESENTATIONS
. THE REASONS WHY THE ELTDENCE BASE IS NOT
CONSIDERED PROPORTIONATE
, THE REASONS WHY WE CONSIDER THE SITE SELECTION
MCTHODOLOGY CHOSEND WEARS THE PLAN DOES TO
REPRESENT THE MUST APPROPRIATE STRATEGY WHENCONSIDERED
AGAINST REASONDABLE ALTERNATIVES
FAILURE OF THE PURP TO FOLLOW THE PRINCIPLET
OF SUCTAINABLE TENTET OF LINE TH
FAILURE OF THE PLAN TO BE BASED ON AN UP TO DATE
Places note the increase will determine the most encouries appearing to educate here they in here.

Please note the inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

10. Please I for indepen	et us know if you wish to be notified when the Endent examination (Please tick)	pping Forest District Local Plan is submitted
Yes	No ou attached any documents with this representation	tion?
Yes	No No	
Signature:	DHA DLANNING.	Date: 26/01/2018

JOHNS COLLINS. DHA PLANNING.

4: To which part of the Sub (Please specify where appro		cal Plan does this r	epresentation relate	
Paragraph 2.1427 \$	Policy SP6	Policies Map	2.5	• - 04
Site Reference	Settlement			
5. Do you consider this part	of the Submission Version notes for an explanation of	on of the Local Plan Fterms		
a) Is Legally compliant	Yes	No 😾		,
b) Sound	Yes	No 🔽	7	
If no, then which of the s	oundness test(s) does it (fail*		
Positively prepared	Effective			
Justified	Consistent with national	policy		#6 #8
c) Complies with the duty to co-operate	Yes	No V		* 4
6. Please give details of why compliant, is unsound or fall you wish to support the lega co-operate, please also use t	s to comply with the duty I compliance, soundness	y to co-operate. Ple of the Local Plan or	ase be as precise as	possible. If
PLEASE SEE	ATTACHED	LETTER	\$PTO	
PLEASE SEE (NB: THE FOLL) THE ATTACHED	CETTER.	BE READ	ALONEGID	E
. THE EUDOSA TO DATE	OCE BASE U	ust be	BROUGHT	P
. SITE SELE	ction Stou	2D Follo	10 THE HA	PF
· THE BACKE CONTAINS ADDRESSED	INACCURACH	2 mation 3 matical	TO THE P	באדט
. THE ASSES	swent of a	•	LT SITE	1445
	(Continue on a separate	sneet ij necessary)		4

7. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

THE MECHANISM FOR SITE SELECTION NEEDS
TO BE REVISITED SO THAT IT I'S BASED
ON GENUINE AND OBJECTIVE SUSTAINABILITY
CRITERIA.

- THE EVIDENCE BASE WUST BE PROJECTIONATE TO THE ORDER OF IMPORTANCE OF GREEN BELT POLICY.
- SITE SELECTIONS SHOULD INCLUDE LUIA AT SITE LEVEL FOR BOTH PAY TIME AND NIGHT TIME,
- CRITERIA FOR SELECTION SHOULD BE BASED NOT ONLY DISTANCE TO FACILITIES BUT THE SAFETY AND UTILITY OF ROUTES. I.E. IF THERE IS NO PAVELLENT & NO STREET LIGHT THE SHOULD PERFORM POORLY.

(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)			
8. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?			
No, I do not wish to participate at the hearings	Yes, I wish to participate at the hearings		

NEWISH TO PARICIPATE IN THE HEARINGS SO THAT
THE INSPECTOR CAN FULLY UNDERSTOND OUR
Submissions AND GONGERDS IN RESPECT OF THE:
· FAILURE OF THE COUNCIL TO PROPERLY CONSIDER REPRESENTATIONS
. THE REASONS WHY THE EURDENOLE BASE IS NOOT
CONSIDERED PROPORTIONATE
THE PRASOLOS WHY WE CONSIDER THE SITE SELECTION
METHODOLOGY CHOSENS WEARS THE PLAN DOES NOT
METHODOLOGY CHOSENS WEARS THE PLAN DOES NOT REPRESENT THE MOST APPROPRIATE STRATEGY WHENCOKIDEDED
AGAI POST REASONDABLE ALTERNATIVES
FAILURE OF THE PUTTO TO FOLLOW THE PRINCIPLET
OF SUSTAINABLE DELELOQUENT
OF SUSTAINABLE DEL'ELOQUELLT. FAILURE OF THE FLAND TO BE BASED ON AN UP TO DATE SUBSICE BASE.

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

	et us know if you wish to be notified when the E dent examination (Please tick)	pping Forest District Local Plan is submitted	
Yes	No		
11. Have yo	u attached any documents with this representa	ition?	
Yes Yes	No		
Signature:	DHA DLANDING.	Date: 26/01/2018	

JOHN COLLINS. DHA PLANNING.

4. To which part of the Sul (Please specify where app	omission Version of the Loropriate)	ocal Plan does this rep	resentation relate?
Paragraph [E	Policy P12P2	Policies Map	
Site Reference	Settlement	PPING &	
5. Do you consider this par *Please refer to the Guidance	t of the Submission Version on the submission of	on of the Local Plan: f terms	
a) Is Legally compliant	Yes	No No	Í
b) Sound	Yes	No V	
If no, then which of the	soundness test(s) does it (fail*	
Positively prepared	Effective \(\sqrt{1}		
Justified	Consistent with national	policy	•
Complies with the duty to co-operate	Yes	No 🗸]
6. Please give details of why compliant, is unsound or fal you wish to support the leg co-operate, please also use	ils to comply with the dut al compliance, soundness	y to co-operate. Pleas of the Local Plan or c	e be as precise as possible. If
PLEASE SE	E ATTACHED	LETTER.	\$PTO
PLEASE SEL (NB: THE FOLL THE ATTACHED	OLDING MUST LETTER.	- BE READ	ALONGOIDE
. THE EVIOLE TO DATE	UCE BASE U	WST BE	Branghtup
. SITE SELE	CTION SHOW	2D Force	S THE HARF
. THE BACKS	TROUBACH	22 MATION T	THE PURM
	(Cantinue on a separate	sheet if necessary)	

- 7. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.
- THE MECHANISM FOR SITE SELECTION NEEDS TO BE REVISITED SO THAT IT I'S BASED OH GENUINE AND OBJECTIVE SUSTAINABILITY CRITERIA.
- THE EVIDENCE BASE WUST BE PROJECTION CATE TO THE ORDER OF IMPORTANCE OF GLEEN BELT POLICY.
- SITE SELECTIONS SHOULD INCLUDE LUIA AT SITE LEVEL FOR BOTH DAY TIME AND NIGHT TIME,
- CRITERIA FOR SELECTIONS SHOULD BE BASED NOOT ONLY DISTANCE TO FACILITIES BUT THE SAFETY AND UTILITY OF ROUTES. I.E. IF THERE IS NOO PAVELLENT & NOO STREET LIGHT THE SHOULD PERFORM POORLY.

. "1	
 (Continue o	on a separate sheet if necessary)
r representation is seeking a modificate he examination?	ation, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral
 No, I do not wish to participate at the hearings	Yes, I wish to participate at the hearings

Please note the inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

10. Please i for indepen	let us know if you wish to be notified when the Epping Forest District Local Plan is submitted ndent examination (Please tick)
Yes	No
11. Have yo	ou attached any documents with this representation?
Yes	No No
Signature:	DHA DIAMOING. Date: 2601/2018

JOHNS COLLINS. DHA PLANNING.

4. To which part of the Submission Version of the Local Plan does this representation relate? (Please specify where appropriate)
Paragraph Policy OLONGAR Policies Map
Site Reference PHSRGA Settlement OHGAR
5. Do you consider this part of the Submission Version of the Local Plan: *Please refer to the Guldance notes for an explanation of terms
a) Is Legally compliant Yes No
o) Sound Yes No
If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail*
Positively prepared Effective
Justified Consistent with national policy
Complies with the duty to co-operate
6. Please give details of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or falls to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. I you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments
PLEASE SEE ATTACHED LETTER & DTO
PLEASE SEE ATTACHED LETTER & PTO (NB: THE FOLLOWING WINST BE READ ALONGOIDE
THE ATTACHED LETTER.
THE EVIDENCE BASE MUST BE BROUGHT UP TO DATE.
· SITE SELECTION SHOULD FOLLOW THE HAPF
THE BACKGROUND INFORMATION TO THE PURN CONTAINS INACCURACIES WHICH WUST BE ADDRESSED.
(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

- 7. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.
- THE MECHANISM FOR SITE SELECTION NEEDS TO BE REVISITED SO THAT IT I'S BASED OF GENUINE AND OBJECTIVE SUSTAINABILITY CRITERIA.
- THE EVIDENCE BASE WUST BE PROJECTIONOATE TO THE ORDER OF IMPORTANCE OF GREEN BELT POLICY.
- SITE SELECTIONS SHOULD INCLUDE LIVE AT SITE LEVEL FOR BOTH PAY TIME AND NIGHT TIME,
- CRITERIA FOR SELECTIONS SHOULD BE BASED NOOT ONLY DISTANCE TO FACILITIES BUT THE SAFETY AND UTILITY OF ROUTES. I.R. IF THERE IS NO PAVENUENT & NO STREET LIGHT THE SHOULD PERFORM POORLY

	9				
(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)				-	
8. If your representation is s part of the examination?	eeking a modifica	ation, do you c	onsider it nece	essary to partic	ipate at the oral
No, I do not wish at the hearings	to participate		Yes, I wish at the heari	to participate ngs	

NEWISH TO PARICIPATE IN THE HEARINGS SO THAT
THE INSPECTOR CAN FUZLY CONDERSTAND OUR
Submissions AND GONGERDS IN RESPECT OF THE;
· FAILURE OF THE COUNCIL TO PROPERLY CONSIDER REPRESENTATIONS
. THE REASONS WHY THE ELTDENDLE BASE IS NOOT
CONSIDER STREPORTIONATE
. THE REASONS WHY WE CONSIDER THE SITE SELECTION
MOTHODOLOGY CHOSELD WIERLS THE PLAN DOES NOT
REPRESENT THE MOST APPROPRIATE STRATEGY WHENCONSIDERED
AGAI POST REASONDABLE ALTERNATIVES
FAILURE OF THE PURM TO FOLLOW THE PRINCIPLET
OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOQUENT
OF SUSTAINABLE DEL'ELOQUELLY. FAILURE OF THE FRANCTO DE BASED ON AN UP TO DATE

Please note the inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

	et us know if you wish to be notified when the E dent examination (Please tick)	pping Forest District Local Plan is submitted
Yes	No	
11. Have you	u attached any documents with this representa	tion?
Yes	No No	
Signature:	DHA DLANDING.	Date: 26/01/2018

JOHN COLLIAS. DHA PLANNING.

4. To which part of the Submission Version of the Local Plan does this representation relate? (Please specify where appropriate)
Paragraph Policy Policies Map
Site Reference Settlement Settlement
5. Do you consider this part of the Submission Version of the Local Plan: "Please refer to the Guidance notes for an explanation of terms"
a) Is Legally compliant Yes No
b) Sound Yes No
If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail*
Positively prepared Effective
Justified Consistent with national policy
c) Complies with the duty to co-operate
6. Please give details of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.
PLEASE SEE ATTACHED LETTER \$ DTD
PLEASE SEE ATTACHED LETTER & PTO (NB: THE FOLLOWORD MUST BE READ ALONGOIDE THE ATTACHED LETTER.
THE EVIOLUCE BASE MUST BE BROUGHT UP TO DATE
· SITE SELECTION SHOULD FOLLOW THE HAPF
THE BACKGROUND INFORMATION TO THE PURN CONTAINS INACCURACIES WHICH MUST BE ADDRESSED.
(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

- 7. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.
- THE MECHANISM FOR SITE SELECTION NEEDS TO BE REVISITED SO THAT IT I'S BASED ON GENUINE AND OBJECTIVE SUSTAINABILITY CRITERIA.
- THE EVIDENCE BASE WUST BE PROBLETIONATE TO THE ORDER OF IMPORTANCE OF GLEEN BELT POLICY.
- SITE SELECTION SHOULD INCLUDE LIVE AT SITE LEVEL FOR BOTH DAY TIME AND NIGHT TIME,
- CRITERIA FOR SELECTIONS SHOULD BE BASED NOOT ONLY DISTANCE TO FACILITIES BUT THE SAFETY AND UTILITY OF ROUTES. I.R. IF THERE IS NO PAVENUENT & NO STREET LIGHT THE SHOULD PERFORM POORLY

	¥		
(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)			
8. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the ora part of the examination?			
No, I do not wish to participate at the hearings	Yes, I wish to participate at the hearings		

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

10. Please le for independ	t us know if you wish to be notified when the E lent examination (Please tick)	pping Forest District Local Plan is submitted
Yes	No	
11. Have you	attached any documents with this representa	tion?
V Yes	No No	
Signature:	DHA DUANNING.	Date: 26/01/2018

4. To which part of the Submission Version of the Local Plan does this representation relate? (Please specify where appropriate)
Paragraph Policy P7 Policies Map
Site Reference CHIG R2
5. Do you consider this part of the Submission Version of the Local Plan: *Please refer to the Guidance notes for an explanation of terms
a) Is Legally compliant Yes No
b) Sound Yes No V
If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail*
Positively prepared V Effective V
Justified Consistent with national policy
c) Complies with the duty to co-operate
6. Please give details of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments
PLEASE SEE ATTACHED LETTER & RELOWD.
THE SUBMITTED LETTER OF REPRESENTATION.
. THE EUIDENCE BASE MUST BE BROUGHT UP TO DATE.
THE BACKGROUND INFORMATIONS TO THE POON
THE PLAN MUST BE BASED ON US TO PATE HEALING
I SOUTH TO BE SUBJECT OF THE SECOND S
THE PRINCIPLES OF SUSTAINABLE INC
BE REVISITED SO THAT IT IS BASED ON GENUINE (Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

7. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

AND OBJECTIVE SUSTAINABILITY CRITISELA

- · THE EUROPEUCE BASE MUST BE PROPORTIONATE TO THE ORDER OF IMPORTANCE OF GREEN BELT POLICY.
- " SITE SELECTION SHOULD INCLUDE LUIA AT SITE LEVEL FOR BOTH DAY TIME & NIGHT TIME.
- · CRITERIA FOR SITE SELECTION SHOULD BE
 BASED ON DISTANCE AND EASE OF ACCESS TO
 SERVICES. SUCH ASSESSMENTS SHOULD INVOLUE
 A WATRIX OF MEASURES SUCH AS DISTANCE,
 SAFETY & UTILITY OF ROJE, RANGE OF
 ACCESS TO SERVICES, FACILITIES & EMPLOYMENT.
 - MY CLIENTS SITE SHOULD BE INCLUDED AS AN ALLOCATION (SR 0435)
 - OR THE ARUP REPORTS SHOULD BE DISREGARDED OR THE PROCESS REVISITED AND CORRECTED BASED ON OBJECTIVE INFORMATION.

(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary) 8. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the opart of the examination?		

NEWISH TO PARICIPATE IN THE HEARINGS SO THAT
THE INSPECTOR CAN FULLY UNDERSTAND OUR
SUBMISSIONS AND CONCERDS IN RESPECT OF THE
· FAILURE OF THE COUNCIL TO PROPERLY CONSIDER REPRESENTATIONS
. THE REASONS WHY THE ELIDENCE BASE IS NOOT
CONSIDERED PROPORTIONATE
. THE REASONS WHY WE CONSIDER THE SITE SELECTION
METHODOLOGY CHOSELD WEARS THE PLAN DOES NOOT
METHODOLOGY CHOSELD WEARS THE PLAN DOES NOT DEPRESENT THE WAST APPROPRIATE STRATEGY WHEH CONSIDER
AGAINST REASONDABLE ALTERNATIVES
FAILURE OF THE PLAN TO FOLLOW THE PRINCIPLET
OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.
OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOCUELT. FAILURE OF THE PLAND TO BE BASED ON AN UP TO DATE
lease note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have
idicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

	dent examination (Please tick)
Yes	No
11. Have yo	u attached any documents with this representation?
Yes	No No
Signature:	DHA DIAMPINE. Date: 26/01/2018

JOHN COLLINS. DHA PLANNING.

4. To which part of the Submission Version of the Local Plan does this representation relate? (Please specify where appropriate)
Paragraph Policy Policies Map
Site Reference 22, 23 Settlement ROYDON
5. Do you consider this part of the Submission Version of the Local Plan: *Please refer to the Guidance notes for an explanation of terms
a) Is Legally compliant Yes No
b) Sound Yes No
If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail*
Positively prepared Effective
Justified Consistent with national policy
c) Complies with the duty to co-operate
6. Please give details of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments
PLEASE SEE ATTACHED LETTER & DTO
PLEASE SEE ATTACHED LETTER & PTO (NB: THE FOLLOWING WUST BE READ ALONGOIDE THE ATTACHED LETTER.
THE EVIDENCE BASE MUST BE BROUGHT UP TO DATE
· SITE SELECTION SHOULD FOLLOW THE HAPF
· THE BACKGROUND INFORMATION TO THE PURC CONTAINS INACCURACIES WHICH WUST BE ADDRESSED.
(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

- 7. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.
- THE MECHANISM FOR SITE SELECTION NEEDS TO BE REVISITED SO THAT IT I'S BASED OH GENUINE AND OBJECTIVE SUSTAINABILITY CRITERIA.
- THE EVIDENCE BASE WUST BE PROJECTIONATE TO THE ORDER OF IMPORTANCE OF GREEN BELT POLICY.
- SITE SELECTION SHOULD INCLUDE LUIA AT SITE LEVEL FOR BOTH PAY TIME AND NIGHT TIME,
- CRITERIA FOR SELECTIONS SHOULD BE BASED NOOT ONLY DISTANCE TO FACILITIES BUT THE SAFETY AND UTILITY OF ROUTES. I.E. IF THERE IS NO PAVENUENT & NO STREET LIGHT THE SHOULD PERFORM POORLY.

(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)		
8. If your representation is seeking a modifica part of the examination?	ition, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral	
No, I do not wish to participate at the hearings	Yes, I wish to participate at the hearings	

NEWISH TO PARICIPATE IN THE HEARINGS SO THAT
THE INSPECTOR CAN FULLY UNDERSTAND OUR
SUBMISSIONS AND GONGERIOS IN RESPECT OF THE;
FAILURE OF THE COUNCIL TO PROPERLY CONSIDER REPRESENTATIONS
. THE REMSOUS WHY THE ELTDENOLE BASE IS NOOT
CONSIDERED PROPORTIONATE
. THE REASONS WHY WE CONSIDER THE SITE SELECTION
METHODOLOGY CHOSEND WEARS THE PLAN DOES NOOT
REPRESENT THE WOST APPROPRIATE STRATEGY WHENCONSIDERED
AGAI MST REASONNABLE ALTERNATIVES
" FAILURE OF THE PLAND TO FOLLOW THE PRINCIPLES
OF SUSTAINABLE DELETION TO
FAILURE OF THE PLAN TO BE BASED ON AN UP TO DATE
-

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

10. Please le for independ	it us know if you wish to be notified when the Epping Forest District Local Plan is submitted the examination (Please tick)	ed
Yes	No No	
11. Have yo	attached any documents with this representation?	
Yes	No No	
Signature:	DHA DLANDING. Date: 26/01/2018	
		-

JOHNS COLLINS. DHA PLANNING.

4. To which part of the Submission Version of the Local Plan does this representation relate? (Please specify where appropriate)
Paragraph Policy Policies Map
Site Reference THOR . R.1 Settlement THORN LOOD.
5. Do you consider this part of the Submission Version of the Local Plan: *Please refer to the Guidance notes for an explanation of terms
a) Is Legally compliant Yes No
b) Sound Yes No
If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail*
Positively prepared Effective
Justified Consistent with national policy
c) Complies with the Yes No Value of the duty to co-operate
6. Please give details of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments
PLEASE SEE ATTACHED LETTER \$ DTD
PLEASE SEE ATTACHED LETTER & PTO (NB: THE FOLLOWING MUST BE READ ALONGSIDE THE ATTACHED LETTER.
. THE EUTOGNEE BASE MUST BE BROUGHT UP TO DATE
· SITE SELECTIONS SHOULD FOLLOW THE HAPF
THE BACKGROUND INFORMATION TO THE PURN CONTAINS INACCURACHES WHICH WUST BE ADDRESSED.
(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

- 7. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.
- THE MECHANISM FOR SITE SELECTION NEEDS TO BE REVISITED SO THAT IT I'S BASED ON GENUINE AND OBJECTIVE SUSTAINABILITY CRITERIA.
- THE EVIDENCE BASE WUST BE PROBLETIONATE TO THE ORDER OF IMPORTANCE OF GREEN BELT POLICY.
- SITE SELECTIONS SHOULD INCLUDE LIVE AT SITE LEVEL FOR BOTH DAY TIME AND NIGHT TIME,
- CRITERIA FOR SELECTIONS SHOULD BE BASED NOT ONLY DISTANCE TO FACILITIES BUT THE SAFETY AND UTILITY OF ROUTES. I.E. IF THERE IS NO PAVELLENT & NO STREET LIGHT THE SHOULD PERFORM POORLY.
- · LARGE SCALE PELEASES SHOWD BE REVIEWED

 ONCE SUSTAINABLY LOCATED SITES ON THE EDGE

 OF SUSTAINABLE SETTLE WENTS HAVE PEEN CAREATLY

 CONSIDERED FOR THEIR EXTENT OF LANDSCAPE IMPACT.

 (Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

8. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at the hearings	Yes, I wish to participate at the hearings	
--	--	--

WEWISH TO PARICIPATE IN THE HEARINGS SO THAT THE INSPECTOR CAN FULLY LONDERSTAND OUR SUBMISSIONS AND CONCERNS IN RESIDENT OF THE FAILURE OF THE COUNCIL TO PROPERLY CONSIDER . THE REASONS WHY THE ELTDENOLE BASE IS NOOT CONSIDERED PROPORTIONATE . THE REASONS WHY WE CONSIDER THE SITE SELECTION

9. If you wish to participate at the hearings, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

METHODOLOGY CHOSELD MEANS THE PLAN DOES NOT REPRESENT THE MOST APPROPRIATE STRATEGY WHENCONIDEDED AGAINST REASONDABLE ALTERNATILES

FAILURE OF THE PLAN TO FOLLOW THE PRINCIPLES OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.

FAILURE OF THE PLANS TO BE BASED ON AN UP TO DATE EMPEROLE BASE PLANS TO BE

Please note the inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have Indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

for independ	et us know if you vident examination	wish to be notified when the (Please tick)	Epping Forest Di	istrict Local Plan is s	ubmitted
Yes	No				
11. Have you	u attached any do	cuments with this represen	tation?		
Yes	No No				
Signature:	DHA	DUANNING.	Date: 24	001/2018	
Yes	No			201/2018	

JOHN COLLIAS. DHA PLANNING.

4. To which part of the Submission Version of the Local Plan does this representation relate? (Please specify where appropriate)
Paragraph Policy P12 Policies Map
Site Reference Settlement AS RIGHT HIGH ONGAR, LOWER SHEERING
5. Do you consider this part of the Submission Version of the Local Plan: & 6 TAPLE TON SHEED ABBOT
a) Is Legally compliant Yes No
b) Sound Yes No
If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail*
Positively prepared Effective
Justified Consistent with national policy
c) Complies with the Yes No Value of the duty to co-operate
6. Please give details of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments
PLEASE SEE ATTACHED LETTER & PTO
(NB: THE FOLLOWOLDS MUST BE READ ALONGSIDE THE ATTACHED LETTER.
THE EVIDENCE BASE MUST BE BROUGHT UP
· SITE SELECTIONS SHOULD FOLLOW THE HAPF
CONTAINS INACCURACHES WHICH WUST BE ADDRESSED.
(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

- 7. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.
- THE MECHANISM FOR SITE SELECTION NEEDS TO BE REVISITED SO THAT IT I'S BASED ON GENUINE AND OBJECTIVE SUSTAINABILITY CRITERIA.
- THE EVIDENCE BASE WUST BE PROBLETIONALTE TO THE ORDER OF IMPORTANCE OF GREEN BELT POLICY.
- SITE SELECTION SHOULD INCLUDE LUIA AT SITE LEVEL FOR BOTH DAY TIME AND NIGHT TIME,
- CRITERIA FOR SELECTION SHOULD BE BASED NOOT ONLY DISTANCE TO FACILITIES BUT THE SAFETY AND UTILITY OF ROUTES. I.R. IF THERE IS NO PAVEMENT & NO STREET LIGHT THE SHOULD PERFORM POORLY.
- · LARGE SCALE PELBASES SHOWD BE REVIEWED

 ONCE SUSTAINABLY LOCATED SITES ON THE EDGE

 OF SUSTAINABLE SETTLE WENTS HAVE PEEN CAREATLY

 CONSIDERED FOR THEIR EXTENT OF LANDSCAPE IMPACT.

 (Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

8. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at the hearings	Yes, I wish to participate at the hearings
--	--

NEWISH TO PARICIPATE IN THE HEARINGS SO THAT THE INSPECTOR CAN FUTLY UNDERSTAND OUR SUBMISSIONS AND CONSCRIST IN RESPECT OF THE; FAILURE OF THE COUNCIL TO PROPERLY CONSIDER REPRESENTATIONS THE REASONS WHY THE ENDENDLE BASE IS NOT CONSIDERED PROPORTIONATE THE REASONS WHY WE CONSIDER THE SITE SELECTION METHODOLOGY CHOSEND WEARS THE PLAN DOES NOT REPRESENT THE WEST APPROPRIATE STRATEGY WHENCOMINETED AGAINST REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES TAILURE OF THE PLANS TO FOLLOW THE PRINCIPLET OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELORIZED ON AN UPTO DATE	
THE INSPECTOR CAN FULLY UNDERSTAND OUR SUBMISSIONS AND GONCERDS IN RESIDENT OF THE; FAILURE OF THE COUNCIL TO PROPERLY CONSIDER REPRESENTATIONS THE REASONS WHY THE ELDENDE BASE IS NOT CONSIDERED PROPORTIONATE THE REASONS WHY WE CONSIDER THE SITE SELECTION METHODOLOGY CHOSEND WEARS THE PLAN DOES NOT REPRESENT THE WOST APPROPRIATE STRATEGY WHENCOMINETED AGAINST REASONNABLE ALTERNATIVES	NEWISH TO PARICIPATE IN THE HEARINGS SO THAT
SUBMISSIONS AND CONCERDS IN RESIDENT OF THE: FAILURE OF THE COUNCIL TO PROPERLY CONSIDER REPRESENTATIONS THE REASONS WHY THE ELIDENCE BASE IS NOT CONSIDERED PROPERTIONATE THE REASONS WHY WE CONSIDER THE SITE SELECTION METHODOLOGY CHOSEND WEARS THE PLAN DOES NOT REPRESENT THE WAST APPROPRIATE STRATEGY WHENCOMINETED AGAINST REASONNABLE ALTERNATIVES	THE INSPECTOR CAN FUZLY UNDERSTAND OUR
FAILURE OF THE COUNCIL TO PROPERLY CONSIDER REPRESENTATIONS THE REASONS WHY THE ELDENCE BASE IS NOT CONSIDERED PROPORTIONATE THE REASONS WHY WE CONSIDER THE SITE SELECTION METHODOLOGY CHOSEND WEARS THE PLAN DOES NOT REPRESENT THE WAST APPROPRIATE STRATEGY WHENCOMINETED AGAINST REASONNABLE ALTERNATIVES	SUBMISSIONS AND GONCERDS IN RESIDENT OF THE.
THE REASONS WHY THE ENDENDLE BASE IS NOT CONSIDER PROPORTIONATE THE REASONS WHY WE CONSIDER THE SITE SELECTION METHODOLOGY CHOSEND WEARS THE PLAN DOES NOT REPRESENT THE WAST APPROPRIATE STRATEGY WHENCOMINETED AGAINST REASONNABLE ALTERNATIVES	FAILURE OF THE COUNCIL TO PROPERLY CONSIDER
METHODOLOGY CHOSEND WEARS THE PLAN DOES NOT REPRESENT THE WOST APPROPRIATE STRATEGY WHEAKOHIDETED AGAINST REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES	. THE REMSOUS WHY THE ELIDENDLE BASE IS NOT
METHODOLOGY CHOSEND WEARS THE PLAN DOES NOT REPRESENT THE WOST APPROPRIATE STRATEGY WHEAKOHIDETED AGAINST REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES	CONSIDERED PROPORTIONATE
REVRESENT THE WAST APPROPRIATE STRATEGY WHENCONSIDERED AGAINST REASONDABLE ALTERNATIVES	. THE REASONS WHY WE CONSIDER THE SITE SELECTION
REVRESENT THE WAST APPROPRIATE STRATEGY WHENCONSIDERED AGAINST REASONDABLE ALTERNATIVES	METHODOLOGY CHOSEND WEARS THE PLAN DOES NOT
AGAILAST LEASONABLE ALTERNATIVES	REPRESENT THE MOST APPROPRIATE STRATEGY MAKENCHINFED)
A RAIL WAS ON THE COMMAND TO THE A STORY THE TAIL OF THE STORY	AGAINST REASONDABLE ALTERNATILES
FAILURE OF THE FRAND TO BE BASED ON AN UP TO DATE	A RAIL WAS ON THE COMMAND TO THE TANK ON THE
EUDELOCE BASE ON AN UP TO DATE	TO THE DAY TO THE
	EUDELOCE BASE TO LE BASED ON AN UP TO DATE

Please note the inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

10. Please le for indepen	et us know if you wish to be notified dent examination (Please tick)	when the Epping Forest District Local Pl	lan is submitted
Yes	No u attached any documents with this	representation?	W# 1
Yes Yes	No No		
Signature:	DHA DUANNOING	Date: 26/01/2012	3