



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	1406	Name	Trevor	Turley
Method	Survey			
Date				

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

I have been made aware of the potential plans to develop the estate, known as Limes Farm. Having read the documents proposed and as a resident/homeowner on Limes Farm I am concerned that the green belt will be lost to housing. As I live at the end ofRedacted... that faces the green belt and the football pitch, I would be sorely disappointed that this area, facility and view is lost. We bought the property over 35 years ago and the green belt featured highly in our requirements, freedom for our children and a general open space which appealed, is used greatly, and still does to this day. To lose this to housing would be unfortunate and not appreciated at all. Also, given our location, street parking inRedacted could be compromised with the influx of additional cars etc. Street parking is tight as it is now. Quality of life/access may deteriorate as we approach the twilight of our lives and something we didn't plan for in our retirement. Having one of the sole reasons taken away when we bought the property is unacceptable and will mean we will have to travel further to walk, exercise etc in future, in a green belt. Having it on our doorstep is a very big plus. I am also aware that the local school, play group and nursery, who use this green belt for their 'better weather' activities, will be unable to do so. As for all dog owners who use this on a daily basis . . . unacceptable to lose this facility. As regards to the mess and upheaval any major building project will cause, I am strongly against this. We are very opposed to this proposal to any form of housing being built on this green belt and will strongly oppose this in the future.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1406 Name Trevor Turley

1





Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?				
No opinion				
Please explain your choice in Question 3:				
Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in				
Epping?				
No opinion				
Buckhurst Hill?				
No opinion				
Loughton Broadway?				
No opinion				
Chipping Ongar?				
No opinion				
Loughton High Road?				
No opinion				
Waltham Abbey?				
No opinion				
Please explain your choice in Question 4:				
Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?				
Please explain your choice in Question 5:				

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1406 Name Trevor Turley





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

I have been made aware of the potential plans to develop the estate, known as Limes Farm. Having read the documents proposed and as a resident/homeowner on Limes Farm I am concerned that the green belt will be lost to housing. As I live at the end ofRedacted... that faces the green belt and the football pitch, I would be sorely disappointed that this area, facility and view is lost. We bought the property over 35 years ago and the green belt featured highly in our requirements, freedom for our children and a general open space which appealed, is used greatly, and still does to this day. To lose this to housing would be unfortunate and not appreciated at all. Also, given our location, street parking inRedacted... could be compromised with the influx of additional cars etc. Street parking is tight as it is now. Quality of life/access may deteriorate as we approach the twilight of our lives and something we didn't plan for in our retirement. Having one of the sole reasons taken away when we bought the property is unacceptable and will mean we will have to travel further to walk, exercise etc in future, in a green belt. Having it on our doorstep is a very big plus. I am also aware that the local school, play group and nursery, who use this green belt for their 'better weather' activities, will be unable to do so. As for all dog owners who use this on a daily basis . . . unacceptable to lose this facility. As regards to the mess and upheaval any major building project will cause, I am strongly against this. We are very opposed to this proposal to any form of housing being built on this green belt and will strongly oppose this in the future.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1406 Name Trevor Turley





Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

- 7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan? Please explain your choice in Question 7:
- An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1406 Name Trevor Turley