



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

| Stakeholder ID | 4744 | Name | В | Williams | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|----------------------|------------------|---|---------------------------|--|--|
| Method | Survey | | | | | | |
| Date | | | | | | | |
| | e elements of th | ne full response suc | ch as formatting | ouncil's database of responses to the Dr and images may not appear accurately. ning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingfore | Should you wish to review | | |
| Survey Respo | onse: | | | | | | |
| 1. Do you agr | Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District? | | | | | | |
| Strongly d | Strongly disagree | | | | | | |
| Please exp | Please explain your choice in Question 1: | | | | | | |
| | nt to provide | | | of Epping Forest, this arises due to opulation. Most of those homes wil | | | |
| 2. Do you agr | ee with the o | verall vision that | the Draft Plan | sets out for Epping Forest District? | | | |
| Strongly d | isagree | | | 0 | | | |
| Please exp | lain your choi | ce in Question 2: | | | | | |
| There is no overdevelopment | | ilding on greenbe | elt land. The (| reenbelt is there to prevent this t | ype of | | |
| 2 Do you agr | eo o with the n | rangeals for days | lanment arour | d Harland | | | |
| , , | ee with the pi | roposals for deve | iopment arour | d Harlow? | | | |
| Agree | lain vaur ahai | oo in Ouestian 2. | | | | | |
| · | • | ce in Question 3: | | المالية على ما المالية | | | |
| • | • | oubt that the loca | ai autnority w | II be able to provide all the faciliti | es, infrastructure, | | |

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4744 Name B Williams

1





| 4. | Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in | | | | | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| | Epping? | | | | | | | | |
| | Yes | | | | | | | | |
| | Buckhurst Hill? | | | | | | | | |
| | Loughton Broadway? Chipping Ongar? Loughton High Road? | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | Waltham Abbey? |
| | | | | | | | | | Please explain your choice in Question 4: |
| | I agree, but all I see is more empty shops, food outlets and coffee shops | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. | Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development? | | | | | | | | |
| | Agree | | | | | | | | |
| | Please explain your choice in Question 5: | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 6. | Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area? | | | | | | | | |
| | Epping (Draft Policy P 1): | | | | | | | | |
| | No | | | | | | | | |
| | Please provide reasons for your view on Epping: | | | | | | | | |
| | The greenbelt came about in order to restrict this type of development. It serves five purposes. To check the | | | | | | | | |

unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas. To prevent neighbouring towns merging into one another. To assist

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4744 Name B Williams





the countryside from encroachment. To preserve the setting and special character of historical towns. To assist in urban regeneration, by encouraging the recycling of derelict and other urban land

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

the present policies have failed to provide the necessary gps and Infrastructure for the present population. The state of road and pavements in parts of Epping Forest are downright dangerous, and have you tried to make a doctors appointment lately. Words like 'vision' and 'objectives' sound good on paper, but delivery is more important

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4744 Name B Williams





| 8. | An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome a | any |
|----|---|-----|
| | comments you may have on this. | |
| | | |

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4744

Name B

Williams