

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	3908	Name	sylvia	tyers
----------------	------	------	--------	-------

Method	Letter
--------	--------

Date	12/12/2016
------	------------

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Letter or Email Response:

I am opposed to the Council's plans for the EFDC district. The procedure is slanted towards the use of many sites already vital to the economy and well being of Epping people and visitors, e.g. car parks, the library in Epping and green spaces, providing clean air to surround the town.Redacted.... This reminds me of the 70s, when we fought the M16, later M 25, where the MoT tried to set communities against one another as nimbys. We circumvented this at the time by joining together to preserve the Green belt. I don't see why residents in one part of the EF district should fight those in another; presumably the Council would pick on the weakest objectors, and their patch would go to the wall. For instance, in Brook Road the access to build on fields would cause risk at the exit point and in many sites elsewhere also. The station car parks are a disgrace and should in Epping and Theydon have been developed into multistoreys years ago to cope with the enormous use of Central line, instead of cheaply painting yellow lines everywhere. The field at the back of Theydon station was a car park with direct access, closed long ago no doubt to save a wage for the gatekeeper (now feasible through oyster card use). This could be a source of parking revenue and a real local facility. If and when the County provide info on their plans for infrastructure, only at that time can growth be planned to take advantage. The rumour that surgeries have said they can expand their intake by 50% is completely untrue. What steps have the County taken to consider upgrading or introducing new hospital capacity, new surgeries, new sanitation, better parking, more schools at all levels? Who is going to build "council" houses, which used to accommodate our much needed medical and educational, police and firefighting staff?? There is no incentive to build anything until the infrastructure is in place or at least in prospect. Any other course of action is crazy! I feel like a turkey being asked to vote for Christmas!Redacted....

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	3908	Name	sylvia	tyers
----------------	------	------	--------	-------