



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	2679	Name	Derek	jacobs		
Method		Survey					
Dat	е						
		elements of the	full response suc	ch as formatting ar		he Draft Local Plan Consultation Itely. Should you wish to review gforestdc.gov.uk	
Sur	vey Respor	nse:					
1.	Do you agree	e with the ove	rall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest Distr	ict?	
	Agree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:						
					ies pursued in the past. i no ting stock whereas other ur	ote that chigwell and ban areas are much higher.	
2.	Do you agree	e with the ove	rall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest Distr	ict?	
	Please expla	in your choice	in Question 2:				
	partly agree	e -see answer	in question 1				
3.	Do you agree	e with the pro	posals for deve	lopment around	larlow?		
	Agree						
	Please expla	nin your choice	in Question 3:				
			wish to see th nent east of th		outh side of harlow breache	d. also would not wish	

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in						
	Epping?						
	No opinion						
	Buckhurst Hill?						
	No opinion						
	Loughton Broadway?						
	No opinion						
	Chipping Ongar?						
	No opinion						
	Loughton High Road?						
	No opinion						
	Waltham Abbey?						
	No opinion						
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:						
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?						
	No opinion						
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:						
	have not looked into this						

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

your options are too simplistic...the sites chosen are the least objectionable as far as i am concerned with one exception-sr 0848 sports centre area...would wish to keep the Centre...Important to keep the wonderful view across the roding valley to the east side of Ongar

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
 No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

have not looked into this thoroughly and am running out of time..there has to be infrastructure of course and trust EFDC will not impose anything untoward.

- An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)