



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	akeholder ID	1506	Name	Shirley	Fuller	
Method		Survey				
Da	te					
		elements of th	ne full response suc	h as formatting and	l images may not app	ponses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation ear accurately. Should you wish to review sult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk
Su	ırvey Respo	nse:				
1.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan set	s out for Epping Fo	rest District?
	Please expla	ain your choid	ce in Question 1:			
2.	, ,	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan set	s out for Epping Fo	rest District?
	Agree	ain vour choid	ce in Question 2:			
	I understan and haven't	d that there consulted in	has to be a draf			concerned with Ongar as I live there cribution for Ongar doesn't seem to
3.	Do you agre	e with the pr	oposals for devel	opment around H	arlow?	
	Please expla	ain your choid	ce in Question 3:			
	I haven't loc coped with		proposals for Hai	rlow. It is alread	y a built up area so	o maybe the new housing can be

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





4.	Do you	agree	with	the	proposed	shopping	area	in
	J	9			h h			

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

Yes

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

I haven't looked at the plans for the areas other than Ongar as it is just too much to take in.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

There must be new employment for the next generation.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Some are alright but there is one site that I do not agree with. SR 0842

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

I understand that with a plan developers have to contribute towards infrastructure. If we have more houses we need more transport, doctors, dentists and schools plus a supermarket that can cope with the increase in people to feed.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

I am sorry but I have not looked at this.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Plan for houses on Stag Pub car park SR 0842

This proposed development is ludicrous. There has been rumour of 10 flats on this car park. The site is amongst larger houses and there is a preserved oak tree on this site. The disruption to the lives of the people who live near this proposed site would be enormous if building commenced. What about parking for the houses/flats on this site and where will the people park who want to use the pub. If there are flats then it would be absolutely out of keeping for the area and privacy would be compromised. The road is very busy outside the pub and there is a dangerous bend. With more traffic from the proposed development getting in and out this area would be full of cars. This in turn will lead to more air pollution.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)