



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	4674	Name	john	Palmer			
Method		Survey						
Dat	e							
		elements of th	e full response suc	ch as formatting a		s to the Draft Local Plan Consultation ccurately. Should you wish to review eppingforestdc.gov.uk		
Sur	vey Respor	nse:						
1.	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?							
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:							
						re for 61 years and have always reen belt will *illegible* in		
2.	Disagree			the Draft Plan s	ets out for Epping Forest	District?		
	Please expla	in your choic	ce in Question 2:					
						s in and around Theydon Bois. will benefit by having good		
3.	Do you agree	e with the pr	oposals for deve	lopment around	Harlow?			
	Please expla	in your choic	ce in Question 3:					
	I agree but	not to overin	de the Green be	elt				

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4674 Name john Palmer





Do you agree with the proposed shopping area i		ou agree with the pro	oposed snopping area	ın
--	--	-----------------------	----------------------	----

Epping?

Buckhurst Hill?

Loughton Broadway?

Chipping Ongar?

Loughton High Road?

Waltham Abbey?

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Agree new shopping areas but not to undermine existing shopping areas in the smaller areas

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

No- the Green Belt will limit the plans approach. New employment ideas should be near to sites close to and within the towns.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4674 Name john Palmer





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

The sites in Theydon Bois are mostly in the Green Belt and it would be harmful to the future if used for housing. The proposed 23% increase in the size of our village would destroy our present character and our school and surgery could not cope.Redacted.....

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4674

Name john

Palmer





8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

At Theydon Bois the railway service is already stretched and would not be able to cope in a lot more houses built. There is only a small bus service

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)