



# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	akeholder ID	2581	Name	Diane	Bland		
Method		Survey					
Da	te						
		elements of th	ne full response suc	h as formatting ar	ncil's database of responses to the dimages may not appear accurate g Policy team: <a href="mailto:ldfconsult@epping">ldfconsult@epping</a>	tely. Should you wish to review	
Su	rvey Respo	nse:					
1.	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?						
	Disagree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:						
	Your taking	outside spa	ce people use lo	osing the comm	unity spirit and feel		
2.	, ,		verall vision that ce in Question 2:	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest Distri	ct?	
3.	, ,	•	roposals for devel ce in Question 3:	lopment around	larlow?		

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in  Epping?  No  Buckhurst Hill?													
								No						
								Loughton Broadway?						
								No Chipping Ongar?						
		No												
		Loughton High Road?												
		No												
		Waltham Abbey?												
No														
Please explain your choice in Question 4:														
	Too much traffic congestion be caused over crowded little shops lose business													
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?													
	No opinion													
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:													
	Don't understand alot of the percentage and graphs too much to understand for laymans													

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

#### No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Losing out community site spaces for children spend money on making community area bringing community closer together cause too much traffic too much conjestion will become to concrete jungle too many people to cope with

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

#### Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

#### As above

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

#### No opinion

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

- 7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan? Please explain your choice in Question 7:
- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)