

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2685 Name Mark Hedges

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

It appears that certain areas are taking a disproportionate volume of housing in comparison to other areas. Epping in particular seems to be accounting for a large proportion of the houses which does not support the vision on protecting the environment. Also there is a lack of thought to the infrastructure to support this, epping is already blighted by bad traffic, poor roads and a lack of community services to support this level of growth

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2685

Name Mark

Hedges

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Yes

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

I oppose SR-0071 specifically for a number of reasons 1: Access - The proposed site access and surrounding roads do not support the building of over 100 homes 2: Wildlife - There are a wide range of wildlife that are settled in this area (deer, grouse etc.) that will be disturbed unnecessarily to support this development. More broadly I oppose the development across Epping due to the lack of commitments on infrastructure from the country council, there is no evidence of consideration of traffic, pollution, community services in the plan,

this level of development without the supporting infrastructure would be disastrous to the local community it is meant to be supporting.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

-
7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

The current plan does not place sufficient safeguards against development of land without sufficient infrastructure, there is a need for a much clearer linkage between confirmed and committed infrastructure development and planning permission. This can not be limited to the developments in isolation, given that the infrastructure of the whole area needs to be sufficient to cope with the increased volume to of housing proposed, a much clearer strategic intent need to be documented and agreed before the overall plan can be sensible considered.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

This must be produced and consulted on before the plan can be adequately considered, the absence of such plans makes the approval of the plan impossible. I would expect and insist on the same level of consultation for this plan as has been undertaken for the draft plan.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?