

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1939 Name Geoffrey Cockram

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

The proposed plan for North Weald is not proportionate. 2760 homes planned when there are existing 2012 homes. This represents 25% of ALL district housing requirements. NOT PROPORTIONATE.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

The infrastructure around local areas is at breaking point now. Before any plans for building of new homes the local infrastructure should be planned with residents consultation. Green belt land if agricultural should not be considered.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Building should take place North of Harlow where there is already proposal for a junction 7A on the M11. This would also be within the proposed location of the new Princess Alexandra Hospital.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1939 Name Geoffrey Cockram

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Waltham abbey should take their proportion of development.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Thev North Weald air fiweld is an historic site. The air field is used by the local residents for many activities. Changing the status of the airfield would be detrimental to the area.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

There is limited scope for increased infrastructure. All areas would be choked with traffic. The areas are already overcrowded and impossibly choked at peak times.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

As Epping

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

As Epping

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

As Epping

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

As Epping

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

As Epping

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

As Epping

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

As Epping

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

As Epping

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

As Epping

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

As Epping

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

As Epping

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

The infrastructure plan is not clear and leaves the to much in the hands of the developers, the Council have not learned lessons from the past.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Any interim appraisal should look at the proposed infrastructure needed for this amount of building. It would completely destroy the area especially the VILLAGE of North Weald

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)