

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4705 Name No name Evans

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4705 Name No name Evans

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Yes

Buckhurst Hill?

Loughton Broadway?

Chipping Ongar?

Loughton High Road?

Waltham Abbey?

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

However all empty shops along the High street must be occupied before issuing the new proposed secondary shopping frontage along St Johns Road

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

There seems to be a disproportionate amount of housing in Epping in proposed sites compared to it's existing population - this seems an unfair percentage. It is similar for North Weald. This amount of new housing and the impact on facilities/roads/schools etc will be huge. I am particularly concerned about the total number of houses for Ongar -600, Coopersale-50, Epping - 1640, North Weald - 1580 Theydon Bois - 360 and Thornwood - 130, totalling 4360 and the subsequent traffic this will bring. Living in Fiddles Hamlet I am experiencing numerous accidents at the junction (a list can be provided) as this area and the smaller rural roads linking the villages above are used as 'rat runs'. Traffic calming/new enforcements for speed etc. need to be implemented not just on the areas where the new houses are being built but also on the surrounding linking roads. Also the areas in Ivy Chimneys (358 homes) Brook Road (244 Homes) and Steward Green Rd (305 homes) all converge to

a point at the bottom of Bower Hill - this will cause further problems and extra traffic leading along Stewards Green rd to Fiddlers Hamlet.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Consultations and notifications should be seen and commented upon as areas are up for development and the public made aware of this and have the right to comment.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

-
8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
-
9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4705

Name No name

Evans