



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	2143	Name	Deborah	Ward			
Method		Survey						
Dat	te							
		elements of the	e full response suc	h as formatting and	I's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Comages may not appear accurately. Should you wish olicy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk			
Su	rvey Respo	nse:						
1.	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?							
	Strongly disagree							
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:							
	Whilst there's much detail on proposed builds, nothing is said about infrastructure or funding to support this. Plans not for the people who already live there							
2.	, ,		erall vision that	the Draft Plan sets	out for Epping Forest District?			
	Strongly dis	J	o in Overtion 2.					
	Please explain your choice in Question 2: If using greenbelt it is destroyed forever and sets a dangerous precident. Should be using brownfield sites first.							
	using gre	enbeit it is de	estroyed foreve	r and sets a dange	ous precident. Should be using brownifeld s	ntes iirst.		
3.	Do vou agre	e with the pro	oposals for devel	opment around Ha	rlow?			
	Strongly dis	•	- p					
	• •	•	e in Question 3:					
	-	-		greenbelt and th	proposal does not tie in with the stated aim	is of the		

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





4.	Do you agree with	the proposed	shopping area in
----	-------------------	--------------	------------------

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

New sites SR-0580 and SR-0151 are in Hoe Lane. No way is that very narrow lane suitable for HGVs etc. We are anxious that there should not be an increase in HGV traffic through Nazeing or other similar villages.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Proposed sites are grade 1-3 greenbelt derelict and brownfield land has been ignored. Large housing developments will not work with existing infrastructure which is v poor in many ways

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Sewerage, drainage, flooding prevention and water supply - all need seriously upgrading. The school is too small already. The Arup Assessment is not credible as vacancies do not exist in local school.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Its all about greenbelt which ALL residents do not want developed this way.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Why were residents not consulted in writing as many are elderly and not PC literate. Why are not all brownfield sites re examined? Public transport is woefully inadequate and yet it is decreasing further and Nazeing cannot handle existing let alone further traffic.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)