

# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	4709	Name	Jane	Willcox
Method	Survey			
Date		-		

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: <a href="https://docs.org/licenses/lice

# Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

## Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

All of this vision destroys the quality of life for the people of Epping Forest and their well being.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

### Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

We don't want Epping to become another 'Harlow - new town' . It is a traditional market town, we have the beautiful forest, which we don't want to lose. We also have deer, wildlife, birds, protected trees and green belt land. None of which the local residents would accept being replaced by housing!

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

### Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Harlow is a much bigger area than E. Forest District so could have more houses built there. They also have a train station and large shopping centre and cinema. They have the existing infrastructure to take more homes.

### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping? No Buckhurst Hill? Yes Loughton Broadway? No Chipping Ongar? Yes Loughton High Road? No Waltham Abbey? Yes

We have Harlow, Epping, Loughton, Westfield Stratford, and Lakeside. We don't need any more shops, we have lost our 'market town' look and feel as it is in Epping.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

### No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

## No

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

### No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

#### Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

These are vague promises. There is no evidence in current plans that they are likely to come to fruition. We cannot let all these houses be built first without new roads, schools, doctors surgeries and a new hospital being built first. You cannot get into any local schools at present or see a GP. Without a 6 week wait, they are so busy, they try and diagnose your condition over the phone and often leave a prescription out for you without seeing you. The roads are a nightmare, **...Redacted...** (Brook Road) is chaos, daily, several times a day and in the evening, you cannot park because of commuters taking our spaces. There are abusive people shouting and swearing as they cannot pass each other as it is so busy, it has become an absolute hellish nightmare to live on my road. We have lived here for 23 years and watched it get worse and worse. We love our house, have brought our four children up here, one of my children was born in our house, we have loved it, but it has become increasingly stressful, noisy, busy, drivers being rude and aggressive to one another and to us residents, the roads around Epping and all the local areas are a nightmare, so how do you think building more houses with more people and cars, is going to help alleviate the problem we already have. Also the pot holes in and around Epping, especially on crossing road are impossible to avoid, the holes keep being refilled but as the next cold spell comes, they reappear again, getting bigger each time, they damage your tyres.

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

New houses - noise, mess, more people, more cars. Roads/traffic - pot holes, parking, noise. Schools, hospitals, doctors being full now already. Pollution - allergies, illness, asthma. Wildlife - being disturbed. Trees being cut down. Brook filled in. Tube station - too many commuters parking, too much capacity now. No green spaces/belt - left to enjoy - walking in or seeing. Houses - looking at 'new houses' instead of old traditional style houses.

#### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)