

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1680 Name Hannah Cain

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1680 Name Hannah Cain

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Epping forest district is a district made up of small towns and villages the proposed plans would eradicate these small towns and villages infrastructures will not be able to cope with the increase in people. Take for example Ongar, where I live. There is one main road in and out of the town and in one part of the road th road

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

is only wide enough for 1 car to pass. I leave for work at 7.15am Monday and Friday and come home around 6pm, I often have to queue to get in and out of Ongar. If a large vehicle has parked on one side of the high street or there are temporary traffic lights this brings the whole high road to a standstill. It has taken me 40 minutes to get from the 4 wantz round about to the end of the high road by the a128 before. How would the roads cope with more. Are on the road. Further to this there is no active police station in or near Ongar, recently there have been violent attacks and an increase in burglaries, an influx of people would exasperate this issue. As well as this schools, particularly primary schools are at capacity where would the children moving into the area go? In Ongar, we now only have 1 doctors surgery, they are working at capacity with waits for appointments that are days/weeks away. How would they cope with the influx of people? Additionally if you don't drive transport links in and around Ongar are dire.. there are 2 buses into Chelmsford on a Saturday. Transport links are not great and again this is another reason the proposed plans are flawed. Not only this, Ongar and most of Epping forest district is famous for its green spaces, its small market towns and villages, the proposed plan will not only put huge strains on the infrastructure of these communities but eradicate the very nature of them! I think it would be a crime if these proposed plans go ahead!

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
-

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?