



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	1391	Name	Kimberly	Allen		
Me	thod	Survey					
Dat	te						
		elements of th	e full response suc	h as formatting and	il's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consulinages may not appear accurately. Should you wish to re Policy team: Idfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk		
Su	rvey Respo	nse:					
1.	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?						
	Agree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:						
	I agree with the aims but believe the current plan contradicts your vision as it will diminish quality of life of residents.						
2.	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?						
	Strongly dis	agree					
	Please explain your choice in Question 2:						
	There are insufficient local amenities such as schools and doctors to support the current population of Epping we cannot support more development in this area with out new amenities.						
3.	Do you agre	e with the pr	oposals for devel	opment around Ha	rlow?		

New amenities to support those communties have been considered, and there is sufficient open space to

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1391 Name Kimberly Allen

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

accomodate.





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in					
	Epping?					
	No					
	Buckhurst Hill? No					
	Yes					
	Chipping Ongar?					
	No					
	Loughton High Road?					
	Yes					
	Waltham Abbey?					
	Yes					
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:					
	I have no problem with new retail where it will not reduce the trade to exsisting small businesses such as Epping and Ongar high street, which should retain there current character.					
	5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?				
Agree						
Please explain your choice in Question 5:						

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Name Kimberly

Stakeholder ID 1391





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

The current draft plan will serioustly diminish the quality of life of residents, with over development. The reduction of much needed parking spaces near the high street and train station, which will push commuter traffic to surrounding roads which are already dangerously full at times. The removal of key leisure facilities such as the gym and park spaces is also unacceptable in an area that has few facilities for families and young people as it is.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1391

Name Kimberly

Allen





Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
 Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

There are no spaces in current schools for the pupils already in the area. the schools should not be put under more pressure and our childrens education blighted by more years of development on current sites,

- An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)