

Stakeholder Reference:
Document Reference:

Part A

Making representation as Resident or Member of the General Public

Personal Details		Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	Mrs	
First Name	Paula	
Last Name	Horwood	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address		
Post Code		
Telephone Number		
E-mail Address		

Part B

REPRESENTATION

To which part of the Pre Submission Epping Forest District Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph:
Policy: P 1 Epping
Policies Map: Yes
Site Reference: EPP.R6
Settlement: Epping

Do you consider this part of the Pre Submission Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: Don't Know
Sound: No
If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Effective, Justified
Complies with the duty to co-operate? No

Please give details either of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate; or of why the Submission Version of the Local Plan is legally compliant, is sound or complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. Please use this box to set out your comments.

Developing the two main car parks that serve the town centre (namely Bakers Lane and Cottis Lane) will significantly compromise accessibility to the local businesses and shops. Parking has been an issue for years and with the development of a further 1000 homes, it is difficult to understand how the town will sustain the extra influx of vehicles. Although the plan aims to retain the current number of spaces by building multi-storey, this does not take into account the extra population. Multi-storey car parks will also not be in keeping with the character of a historic market town and will be clearly visible behind the north side of the High Street.

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Pre Submission Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/ Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness.

You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Underground car parks may be a more suitable option.

If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at oral examination

If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

REPRESENTATION

To which part of the Pre Submission Epping Forest District Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph:

Policy: P 1 Epping

Policies Map: Yes

Site Reference: EPP.R1

Settlement: Epping

Do you consider this part of the Pre Submission Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: Don't Know

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Effective

Complies with the duty to co-operate? Don't Know

Please give details either of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate; or of why the Submission Version of the Local Plan is legally compliant, is sound or complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. Please use this box to set out your comments.

This is a huge development in an area with already struggling Infrastructure. The Central Line is already over-subscribed at Epping. The roads around Ivy Chimneys only allow room for one vehicle due to the on-road parking. This level of development will cause a huge strain on the area. The plans to improve the Infrastructure of the area without impacting on the Green Belt and air quality needs to be outlined.

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Pre Submission Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/ Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

One suggestion would be to look at slightly widening the junction at the Bell Motel traffic lights. When entering the town from the direction of Loughton (Epping New Road), there are terrible queues particularly during rush hour. These are made worse when vehicles are forced to wait for oncoming traffic to clear before being able to turn right (towards Forest Gate pub / Ivy Chimneys). I would hope this is possible without any form of deforestation. On the matter of the Central Line, it would be helpful if Transport for London would consider scheduling more Epping trains. This branch is significantly more in demand than the Hainault branch. Many of the trains currently terminate at Loughton and Debden. This is frustrating for those of us who need to reach Theydon Bois or Epping.

If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at oral examination

If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please let us know if you wish to be notified when the Epping Forest District Local Plan is submitted for independent examination

Yes

Signature: Paula Horwood Date: 29/01/2018