

Stakeholder Reference:

Document Reference:

Part A

Making representation as Resident or Member of the General Public

| Personal Details | | Agent's Details (if applicable) |
|-------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| Title | | Mr |
| First Name | | Marsh |
| | | all |
| Last Name | | Vance |
| Job Title (where relevant) | | |
| Organisation (where relevant) | | |
| Address | | |
| Post Code | | |
| Telephone Number | | |
| E-mail Address | | |

Part B

REPRESENTATION

To which part of the Pre Submission Epping Forest District Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph:

Policy: P 5 Buckhurst Hill

Policies Map:

Site Reference: None of the above

Settlement: Buckhurst Hill

Do you consider this part of the Pre Submission Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: Don't Know

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Justified

Complies with the duty to co-operate? Don't Know

Please give details either of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate; or of why the Submission Version of the Local Plan is legally compliant, is sound or complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. Please use this box to set out your comments.

Buckhurst Hill is required to provide 54 dwellings over the next 17 years to comply with the local plan.

this equates to 3 home per year. given this statistic and the fact that evidence can be shown that more than 3 homes per year have been built to date. there is no need to develop any of the 3 sites defined in the plan.

Also, given one of these sites means uprooting existing residents and disrupting their private and social lives, not to show that they are unlikely to be able to return to their home after development (due to cost) family ties are lost, children education massively disrupted and distress caused. this is why this site is not justified

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Pre Submission Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/ Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness.

You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

As stated define in the plan that the number of homes required to satisfy the local plan can be met through natural development over the next 17 years.

If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral part of the oral examination

If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

democracy

Please let us know if you wish to be notified when the Epping Forest District Local Plan is submitted for independent examination

Yes

Signature: marshall vance Date: 16/01/2018

DISCLAIMER

This email is for the use of the intended recipients only. Any opinion or advice it contains is that of the sender and does not bind the authority in any way. If you have received it in error, please notify the sender immediately and then delete the message. If you are not the intended recipient you must not use, disclose, copy or distribute this email.

We have taken precautions to minimise the risk of transmitting software viruses, but we advise that you carry out your own virus checks on an attachment to this message. We cannot accept liability for any loss or damage caused by software viruses.

Internet email is not a secure communication medium,

and we advise that you observe this lack of security when emailing us.

Epping Forest District Council

Postmaster@Eppingforestdc.gov.uk