

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2673 Name rodney Day

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

We need more houses and work places our area has to play their part in providing that need, The Vision now needs to be refined, and area looked in line with our comments

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

There is little option, take Nazeing, it has Harlow New Town, one side and the LVRP the other which limits the direction to expand, the sites needs to be amended

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Agree with the Draft policy SP3 but challenge the siting areas as proposed in the draft local plan.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2673 Name rodney Day

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Yes

Buckhurst Hill?

Yes

Loughton Broadway?

Yes

Chipping Ongar?

Yes

Loughton High Road?

Yes

Waltham Abbey?

Yes

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

They are all existing areas which should be extended to make them more attractive for shoppers.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

I agree in principle but caution needs to be carefully investigated, to minimise traffic through village areas and noise to residential areas. It should be kept in one place if possible.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

SR0300 A&B is bad planning and should be abandoned, is impact in an open area off countryside, and over a mile from the village centre Please think again

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

The proposal is good but can it be achieved, I have not seen a detailed infrastructure plan say for Nazeing. The needed infrastructure is the responsibility of the County Council and developers not the EFDC will all the needed infrastructure be agreed and approved before the commencement of the construction of any building works? Can you guarantee your Delivery Plan?

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

When I have t a copy of the Interim Sustainability Appraisal I would like to comment, hopefully this will pick up some of the needed amendments

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

I think a good job has been done in producing the consultation plan, and if applied will create a better living environment for the future. The devil is in the detail, Which I have referred to.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)