

Stakeholder ID 4751



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Rose

Roger

Name

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4751

Ме	thod	Survey	
Da	te		
		me elements of the full re	sing information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation esponse such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review se, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk
Su	rvey Res	ponse:	
1.	Do you a	gree with the overall v	rision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?
	Disagree		
	Please ex	kplain your choice in Q	uestion 1:
	agricultu Brook Ro and can' actually	oral land has two third bad, Stewards Green. t be widened due to t close the road at the	not appear to protect the district's green belt, it eats into large chunks of historic ds of proposed housing seems to be concentrated in the area of Ivy Chimners Bridge Hill Brook Road is not suitable for anymore traffic than it already carries the railway bridge and brook. I was in fact previously drafting a suggestion to bridge which would actually cause local traffic to use the larger more suitable hose that have made it the dangerous ill-tempered rat run it has evolved into.
2.	Do you a	_	rision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?
	Please ex	kplain your choice in Q	uestion 2:
	the plan it the int	? Harlow itself has alr	at the original plan was to expand out along the M11 corridor, is this still part of eady expanded in recent times with both social & private housing being built. Is county council to provide certain parts of the community with affordable housing
3.	-	gree with the proposal xplain your choice in Q	s for development around Harlow? Juestion 3:





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in			
	Epping?			
	Buckhurst Hill?			
	Loughton Broadway?			
	Chipping Ongar?			
	Loughton High Road?			
	Waltham Abbey?			
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:			
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?			
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:			

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

I have previously stated that I think the proposed sites for my local area would change the whole local character. To build two thirds of the whole Epping housing plan in such a small area would turn this into a sprawling housing estate instead of the rural location it currently is. I understand that housing is desperately needed in all areas but I do not think it particularly fair nor necessary for the intensity in one area (Chimneys/Brook Road/Stewards Green. The biggest single issue is Brook Road itself, this is currently a rat run and I dread to think what it would become in the future. Another consideration is the market value of properties in the area. We currently enjoy a view over agricultural land which would be a selling point anywhere. If a housing estate is built on the land I believe market values would fall. As previously stated how would the new site be accessed, where would access roads be situated? Brook Road cannot be significantly widened due to the brook and also the TFL bridge speed limits are ignored also. I did foot of bower hill during the school holidays, what was the point of that other than to distort the facts

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4751 Name Roger Rose





7.	Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan? Please explain your choice in Question 7:
8.	An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
9.	Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?