Stakeholder Reference:	
Document Reference:	

# Making representation as Statutory Consultee, Local Authority or Town and Parish Council

P	ersonal Details	Agent's Details (if applicable)	
Title	Redacted		
First Name	Redacted		
Last Name	Redacted		
Job Title (where relevant) Spatial Planning Manager			
Organisation (where relevant)	Anglian Water Services Ltd		
Address	Thorpewood House Thorpewood, Peterborough	,,	
Post Code			
Telephone Number	Redacted		
E-mail Address	Redacted		

#### Part B

### REPRESENTATION

# To which part of the Pre Submission Epping Forest District Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph:

Policy: DM 16 Sustainable Drainage Systems

Policies Map:

Site Reference: None of the above

Settlement:

# Do you consider this part of the Pre Submission Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: Yes

Sound: Yes

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail?

Complies with the duty to co-operate? Yes

Please give details either of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate; or of why the Submission Version of the Local Plan is legally compliant, is sound or complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. Please use this box to set out your comments.

Anglian Water is supportive of Policy DM 16 as it requires that development to follow the drainage hierarchy and incorporate Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) with the disposal of surface water to surface water or combined sewers will only be considered having considered where alternatives have been fully investigated. This is consistent with the surface water hierarchy and would help to ensure that new development does not increase the risk of surface water and sewer flooding.

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Pre Submission Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/ Effective/ Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at oral examination

If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

#### REPRESENTATION

To which part of the Pre Submission Epping Forest District Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph:

Policy: DM 18 On site management of waste water and water supply

Policies Map:

Site Reference: None of the above

Settlement:

### Do you consider this part of the Pre Submission Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: Yes

Sound: Yes

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail?

Complies with the duty to co-operate? Yes

Please give details either of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate; or of why the Submission Version of the Local Plan is legally compliant, is sound or complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. Please use this box to set out your comments.

Anglian Water is supportive of Policy DM 18 as it states that planning permission will only be granted if it can be demonstrated that there is, or will be sufficient surface water, foul drainage and sewage treatment capacity for the proposed development.

The requirement for developers to demonstrate that upgrades to the sewerage network will be delivered (where capacity is not currently available) is strongly supported.

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Pre Submission Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/ Effective/ Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at oral examination

If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

#### REPRESENTATION

To which part of the Pre Submission Epping Forest District Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph:

Policy: P 12 Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sheering and Stapleford

**Abbotts** 

Policies Map:

Site Reference: None of the above

Settlement: High Ongar

## Do you consider this part of the Pre Submission Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: Yes

Sound: Yes

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail?

Complies with the duty to co-operate? Yes

Please give details either of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate; or of why the Submission Version of the Local Plan is legally compliant, is sound or complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. Please use this box to set out your comments.

Anglian Water is the sewerage undertaker for High Ongar. The following comments relate to High Ongar only.

It is noted that it proposed to allocate a site for housing at Mill Lane, High Ongar and that reference is made to local utilities upgrades. Anglian Water has no objection to the principle of housing development on this site.

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Pre Submission Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/ Effective/ Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at oral examination

If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

# To which part of the Pre Submission Epping Forest District Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph:

Policy: D 3 Utilities

Policies Map:

Site Reference: None of the above

Settlement:

## Do you consider this part of the Pre Submission Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: Yes

Sound: Yes

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail?

Complies with the duty to co-operate? Yes

Please give details either of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate; or of why the Submission Version of the Local Plan is legally compliant, is sound or complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. Please use this box to set out your comments.

Anglian Water is supportive of Policy D3 as it states that planning permission will only be granted if it can be demonstrated that there is sufficient utilities capacity to meet the needs of the proposed development.

The requirement for development to fund appropriate improvements prior to the occupation of developments and the phasing of development to provide sufficient capacity is strongly supported.

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Pre Submission Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/ Effective/ Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at oral examination

If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why

### you consider this to be necessary:

# Please let us know if you wish to be notified when the Epping Forest District Local Plan is submitted for independent examination

Yes

Signature: ....Redacted....Date: 29/01/2018

#### DISCLAIMER

This email is for the use of the intended recipients only. Any opinion or advice it contains is that of the sender and does not bind the authority in any way. If you have received it in error, please notify the sender immediately and then delete the message. If you are not the intended recipient you must not use, disclose, copy or distribute this email. We have taken precautions to minimise the risk of transmitting software viruses, but we advise that you carry out your own virus checks on an attachment to this message. We cannot accept liability for any loss or damage caused by software viruses.

Internet email is not a secure communication medium, and we advise that you observe this lack of security when emailing us.

Epping Forest District Council Postmaster@Eppingforestdc.gov.uk