



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	1429	Name	Stephen	humphreys		
Method Su		Survey					
Da	te						
		elements of th	ie full response suc	h as formatting and	il's database of responses to the Draft Local images may not appear accurately. Should yo Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.u	u wish to review	
Su	rvey Respoi	nse:					
1.	, ,	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan set	out for Epping Forest District?		
	No opinion	vin vour choid	oo in Ouestian 1.				
	Please explain your choice in Question 1: I see the need for more housing, but this plan does not take into consideration the detremental impact this						
		ve on the co		s pian does not i	ake into consideration the detremental	impact this	
2.	Do you agree		verall vision that	the Draft Plan set	out for Epping Forest District?		
	0 3	· ·	ce in Question 2:				
	This plan w	ill have a sei	rious impact on t		cal community by taking away these greery little or no green spaces.	en spaces it	
3.	, ,	e with the pr	oposals for devel	opment around H	rlow?		
	No opinion Please expla	ain vour choid	ce in Question 3:				
	•	•	o not impact on	Loughton.			

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1429 Name Stephen humphreys





4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

Yes

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

Yes

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

If you are talking about the new retail park in the Broadway area of Langston road the only downside to this is additional traffic. There is little scope to have more shops in Loughton High Road but there should be a restriction of the numbers of Coffee shops, Hairdressers, Barbers, and Charity shops that currently make up the High road there are too many of each!

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

I can't see where any new opportunities for employment will come from under this plan apart from the actual building sites but the would only be short term!

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

These proposed plans for Loughton, will be a blight on the district ie three multi storey carparks and taking away the green spaces. There are no sites allocated for more schools, GP surgeries, Dental Surgeries or

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1429 Name Stephen humphreys





Transport services. The reliance on other agencies to deliver these will be subject to much debate and may not ever be delivered!!! You relying solely on HOPE!!!!

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1429 Name Stephen humphreys





7.	Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
	Strongly disagree
	Please explain your choice in Question 7:
	Explained previously!!!!
8.	An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
	It will not be sustainable for all the reason i have previously stated!!!!
9.	Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1429

Name Stephen

humphreys