



# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	2965	Name	Eswaran	Ramasamy	
Method		Survey				
Dat	te					
		elements of th	ie full response suc	ch as formatting and	il's database of responses to the Draft L images may not appear accurately. Shou Policy team: <u>Idfconsult@eppingforestdc.</u>	ald you wish to review
Su	rvey Respo	nse:				
1.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan set	out for Epping Forest District?	
	Strongly dis	agree				
	Please expla	ain your choid	ce in Question 1:			
2.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan set	out for Epping Forest District?	
	Strongly dis	agree				
	Please expla	ain your choid	ce in Question 2:			
3.	Do you agre	e with the nr	onosals for deve	lopment around H	rlow?	
	Strongly agi	•	oposais for deve	iopinicint di odna in	How:	
			ce in Question 3:			
		in your choic	Se in Question 3.			

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2965 Name Eswaran Ramasamy





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in
	Epping?
	Yes
	Buckhurst Hill?
	Yes
	Loughton Broadway?
	Yes
	Chipping Ongar?
	Yes
	Loughton High Road?
	Yes
	Waltham Abbey?
	Yes
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
	Agree
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2965

Name Eswaran

Ramasamy





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

#### No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

#### No opinion

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2965

Name Eswaran

Ramasamy





Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
 Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2965

Name Eswaran

Ramasamy