



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	ikeholder ID	2986	Name	Jill & Ronald	Higginson
Ме	thod	Survey			
Da	te				
		elements of th	e full response suc	h as formatting and im	s database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation ages may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review licy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk
Su	rvey Respo	nse:			
1.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan sets o	ut for Epping Forest District?
	Please expla	ain your choid	ce in Question 1:		
2.	, ,		verall vision that ce in Question 2:	the Draft Plan sets o	ut for Epping Forest District?
3.	, ,	•	oposals for devel ce in Question 3:	opment around Harl	ow?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2986 Name Jill & Ronald Higginson





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in
	Epping?
	No opinion
	Buckhurst Hill?
	No opinion
	Loughton Broadway?
	No opinion
	Chipping Ongar?
	No opinion
	Loughton High Road?
	No opinion
	Waltham Abbey?
	No opinion
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

SR0153 The Orchards is a quiet road of bungalows, inhabited by mainly retired people. The proposed building site, which borders the Essex Way footpath is a green belt area of beautiful countryside. It is also a low-lying area which in the winter frequently has water standing which does not drain away. We are concerned therefore, that if it were built on, it may be a potential flood area. Any new houses would obviously be at least two stories high, which would destroy not only the peace and privacy of the gardens that back on to the

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2986 Name Jill & Ronald





proposed site, but would block out the beautiful countryside view, which is the main reason we chose to live here.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2986 Name Jill & Ronald Higginson





7.	Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?					
	Please explain your choice in Question 7:					
8.	An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.					
9.	Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?					

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)