



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	2724	Name	Olivia	Burren			
Method		Survey						
Dat	te							
		elements of th	e full response suc	ch as formatting ar	ncil's database of responses to the Draft d images may not appear accurately. Sho g Policy team: Idfconsult@eppingforestd	ould you wish to review		
Su	rvey Respoi	nse:						
1.	Do you agree Strongly dis		verall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest District?			
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:							
	I don't think that Green Belt land should be used for housing.							
2.		e with the ov	erall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest District?			
	No opinion	in your choic	so in Ougstian 2					
	I accept tha Hill, Lought	t housing mu on, Epping a	nd.similar is go	e pressure on lo	cal facilities and infrastructure for th however. I don't know if this wil and may have more capacity for e	I be as big a		
3.	Do you agree	e with the pr	oposals for deve	lopment around	larlow?			
	Please expla	in your choic	ce in Question 3:					
	I don't knov	/ Harlow wel	ll enough to con	nment.				

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2724 Name Olivia Burren

1





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in								
	Epping? No opinion								
	Buckhurst Hill?								
	Yes								
	Loughton Broadway? Yes								
								Chipping Ongar?	
	No opinion								
	Loughton High Road?								
	Yes								
	Waltham Abbey?								
	No opinion								
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:								
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?								
	No opinion								
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:								

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2724 Name Olivia Burren





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

The loss of the car park in Queens Road would have a huge impact on local shops. Parking in Buckhurst Hill is already difficult - the Waitrose car park is too small anyway, and this will lead to gridlock as people try to park. Adding further residential accommodation in this area will also lead to problems - doctors' surgeries and schools are already struggling and it's almost impossible to get a seat on the Tube even at 7.00 am. There have

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2724 Name Olivia Burren





already been too many houses converted into flats or demolished and rebuilt as flats - the infrastructure cannot cope with the current numbers living and commuting from here.

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2724 Name Olivia Burren