



1

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	1502	Name	Richard	Harris	
Me	thod	Survey				
Dat	te					
		elements of th	e full response suc	h as formatting and	images may not appe	onses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation ear accurately. Should you wish to review ult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk
Su	rvey Respoi	nse:				
1.	Do you agree	e with the ov	erall vision that	the Draft Plan set	s out for Epping For	est District?
	Disagree					
	Please expla	in your choic	ce in Question 1:			
	0361 and the spaces when teenagers we blossom into	ne library an re children o here they c o a career. T hen these h	d The Box centro can play safely at an go to learn ho These places and	e SR-0565. These and the The Box c ow to run a radio spaces are not j	e spaces are invalua entre has a brillian station giving inspi ust for now but also	aying fields notably: SR-0358 SR- able to the area and provide open t service to local children and iration and skills that can see them o for the future. If new houses have iving the area much needed
2.	Agree		verall vision that the control of th	the Draft Plan set	s out for Epping For	est District?
3.	Agree		oposals for devel	opment around H	arlow?	

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Name Richard

Stakeholder ID 1502





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in						
	Epping?						
	No opinion						
	Buckhurst Hill?						
	No opinion						
	Loughton Broadway?						
	Yes						
	Chipping Ongar?						
	No opinion						
	Loughton High Road?						
	Yes						
	Waltham Abbey?						
	Yes						
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:						
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?						
	Strongly agree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:						

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1502

Name Richard





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1502

Name Richard





Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1502 Name Richard