

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	2627	Name	Howard	Elston
Method	Survey			
Date				

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: <a href="https://docs.org/licenses/lice

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

The proposal does net take into account or explain how it will support the infrastructure of the village with this level of growth. As a resident it does not feel there is any consideration on the impact it will have on the local population.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Why has no brown land been considered for building as going for green belt land should be the very last resort for building. There are plenty of areas in Harlow that could support that proposal and handle that level of growth.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Why is Nazeing considered are part of Harlow. It is not and there are areas closer to Harlow town that can support the intended growth.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)



4.



- Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in... Epping? No opinion Buckhurst Hill? No opinion Loughton Broadway? No opinion Chipping Onga? No opinion Loughton High Road? No opinion Waltham Abbey? No Please explain your choice in Question 4: This does not relate to the proposed plans for Nazeing and refers to areas outside the area being impacted.
- 5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

The proposal for new sites in Hoe Lane is not suitable for large vehicles which have an impact on the residents and surrounding area of Nazeing.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)



6.



Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area? Epping (Draft Policy P 1): No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Epping: Loughton (Draft Policy P 2) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton: Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey: Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar: Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill: North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett: Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett: Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois: Roydon (Draft Policy P 9) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon: Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10) No Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing: Again why have no brown sites been considered over green belt land and the location will have huge impact on local infrastructure, sewage, drainage and how as residents we travel through the village. Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11) No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2627

Name Howard Elston





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

It needs to be proven that adequate assessment of the waterways (drainage, sewage) is undertaken. To prevent the recent issues of flooding. If not addressed the impacts will be dangerous and costly for the council to rectify.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

The is now clear reason as to why green belt land has been selected for the proposed plan. This is prime land For producing food which is vital and gives vital resources to the local area.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

A clear report on brown land sites that are available for development should identified and considered for regeneration over green belt which should preserved and respected before we lose it.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)