



# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	1581	Name	AMANDA	bateman	
Method		Survey				
Da	te					
	This documer 2016. Some	elements of th	ie full response suc	ch as formatting and	il's database of responses to the Draft Loca mages may not appear accurately. Should Policy team: Idfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov	you wish to review
Su	rvey Respoi	nse:				
1.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan sets	out for Epping Forest District?	
	Strongly dis	agree				
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 1:			
	appointmer children wh	nt. Patients here they ha	nave to wait 2 m	onths to attend months to attend m	a resident. It takes 4 weeks for met y clinic and my kids go to a school wi dor outside the toilets. We do not ha	th over 400
2.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan sets	out for Epping Forest District?	
	Strongly dis	agree				
	Please expla	in your choi	ce in Question 2:			
					centre.There is not enough parking f and we had to fight for years to get '	
3.	Do you agree	•	oposals for deve	lopment around Ha	rlow?	
	0.0	•	ce in Question 3:			

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Name AMANDA

bateman

Stakeholder ID 1581

1





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in						
	Epping?						
	No						
	Buckhurst Hill?						
	No						
	Loughton Broadway?						
	No						
	Chipping Ongar?						
	Yes						
	Loughton High Road?						
	No						
	Waltham Abbey?						
	Yes						
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:						
	Epping and Buckhurst hill are to small and already have a high volume of traffic they do not need more retail outlets to attract more traffic						
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?						
	Agree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:						

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1581

Name AMANDA

bateman





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

### No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

hateman

### No opinion

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1581 Name AMANDA





Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1581

Name AMANDA

bateman