



# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stake	eholder ID	2696	Name	Judith	Hughes		
Method		Survey					
Date							
		elements of the	full response suc	ch as formatting an	ncil's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan C I images may not appear accurately. Should you wish I Policy team: Idfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk		
Surv	ey Respor	nse:					
1. D	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?						
S	trongly dis	agree					
Р	Please explain your choice in Question 1:						
<u></u>	Over provis	ion on land th	at is Green Bel	It in some areas			
	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?  Strongly disagree						
	• •	•	in Question 2:				
	•	3		ois which will be	unsightly and ruin the village		
	, ,	e with the pro	posais for devei	lopment around I	ariow?		
	Agree	vin vour choice	in Ougstion 2.				
	•	-	e in Question 3:	fractructure to a	unnert development. 2 stations by a terminal	lorgo	
		ea, hospital e		irastructure to s	upport development: 2 stations, bus terminal	iarge	

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2696 Name Judith Hughes





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in						
	Epping?						
	No opinion						
	Buckhurst Hill?						
	No opinion						
	Loughton Broadway?						
	No opinion						
	Chipping Ongar?						
	No opinion						
	Loughton High Road?						
	No opinion						
	Waltham Abbey?						
	No opinion						
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:						
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?						
	Disagree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:						
	Not if it is on Green Belt land						

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Hughes

Stakeholder ID 2696 Name Judith





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

#### No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

This is a absurdly large number, which will encroach upon and damage the Green Belt, and put pressure on existing services and transport in the area. Theydon Bois has always managed to retain a 'village' feel, but this





would turn it in to a small town, and that is not wanted, particularly as there are other nearby towns which could absorb development without essentially changing the nature of the environment.

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

#### Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Need specific details

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2696 Name Judith Hughes