



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	ikenolder ID	2/32	Name	Richard	Munday		
Ме	thod	Survey					
Da	te						
		elements of th	ne full response suc	ch as formatting and		es to the Draft Local Plan Consultation accurately. Should you wish to review eppingforestdc.gov.uk	
Su	rvey Respo	nse:					
1.	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?						
	Strongly disagree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:						
	Local econo	omy - great -	local housing ar	nd using up all ou	r green spaces - awful		
2.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan se	s out for Epping Forest	District?	
	Strongly dis	agree					
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 2:				
3.	Do vou agre	e with the p	roposals for deve	lopment around F	arlow?		
	Strongly dis	•	oposais ioi dolo	iopinioni arouna i	arrow.		
	• •	•	ce in Question 3:				

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2732 Name Richard Munday





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in
	Epping?
	No opinion
	Buckhurst Hill?
	No opinion
	Loughton Broadway?
	Yes
	Chipping Ongar?
	No opinion
	Loughton High Road?
	Yes
	Waltham Abbey?
	No opinion
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
	Strongly disagree
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2732

Name Richard

Munday





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Regenerate Loughton - don't push it over the edge with housing.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2732 Name Richard Munday





No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
 No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

If it happens then these have to already be in place.

- An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2732 Name Richard Munday