



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	4357	Name	Matt	Harris
Method	Email			
Date	12/12/2016			

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Letter or Email Response:

Dear Sirs With reference to the new homes proposed to be built in North Weald, I would like to submit my thoughts on this. •The impact to the village itself is over a 70% increase in size to the North of the village. Access from the village is by way of a small unsuitable road, Church Lane. •Epping Forest cannot be modified to give access to North Weald - for every 400m2 that is removed it has to be replaced - that's if you ever get permission to dig up protected forest. •We have been waiting over 3 years for a Highways repair as they are too busy - envisage the increase in issues with and extra 70% of people. •Drainage and Flooding has recently been an issue in North Weald - drains are not up to current infrastructure with blockages always being unblocked causing traffic chaos for days and weeks with temporary traffic lights through village. •With regards to Infrastructure - how do Epping Forest District deal with North Weald Tube station - getting into Epping is tiresome and the Palin is already recognised as a pinch point of traffic trouble. •Current bus service is dangerous - look at the accident rate for 2015/16 for trusty busses. •Would it not be suitable to reopen the station and build a car park in Station road to manage users of the facility from Ongar and North Weald? •North Weald doesn't have the facilities of a small town and these haven't been expanded on, nor doctors surgery or post office. •North weald also has a Parish Council - by expanding the village you would not only create a town but we would require a Town Council. Please consider these when drafting the local Plan Regards Matt Harris

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4357 Name Matt Harris