Stakeholder Reference: Document Reference:

Part A

Making representation as Resident or Member of the General Public

	Personal Details	Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title First Name Last Name Job Title (where relevant) Organisation (where relevant) Address	Mr Roger Emmens	
Post Code Telephone Number E-mail Address		

Part B

REPRESENTATION

To which part of the Pre Submission Epping Forest District Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph: Appendix 6b

Policy: D 1 Delivery of Infrastructure

Policies Map:

Site Reference: EPP.R3 Settlement: Epping

Do you consider this part of the Pre Submission Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: Yes Sound: Don't Know

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Complies with the duty to co-operate? Don't Know Please give details either of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate; or of why the Submission Version of the Local Plan is legally compliant, is sound or complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. Please use this box to set out your comments.

There is insufficient parking already for commuters using Epping Underground Station. The opportunity should be taken to require developers to increase parking provision on this site. In addition, given the pressure on parking elsewhere in the town caused by commuters unable to park at the station, developers should be required to present proposals for managing parking during the period of development itself.

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Pre Submission Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/ Effective/ Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at oral examination

If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

REPRESENTATION

To which part of the Pre Submission Epping Forest District Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph: Appendix 6b

Policy: D 1 Delivery of Infrastructure

Policies Map:

Site Reference: EPP.R6 Settlement: Epping

Do you consider this part of the Pre Submission Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: Yes

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Effective

Complies with the duty to co-operate? Don't Know

Please give details either of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate; or of why the Submission Version of the Local Plan is legally compliant, is sound or complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. Please use this box to set out your comments.

"Development proposals for site allocations EPP.R6 Cottis Lane Car Park and EPP. R7 Bakers Lane Car Park

should, in combination, deliver approximately 78 dwellings (net) and the re-provision of the existing number of car parking spaces provided at both car parks." There is proposed to be an increase in the number of households in Epping of 1,305, the great majority of which will not be within walking distance of the town centre. It is pointless to miss this opportunity to increase the number of parking spaces in proportion with the increase of households in Epping. In addition, developers should be required to present proposals for managing the availability of parking spaces during the redevelopment period itself.

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Pre Submission Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/ Effective/ Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

"Development proposals for site allocations EPP.R6 Cottis Lane Car Park and EPP. R7 Bakers Lane Car Park

should, in combination, deliver approximately 78 dwellings (net) and the re-provision of an increase of 20% on the existing number of car parking spaces provided at both car parks."

If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at oral examination

If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please let us know if you wish to be notified when the Epping Forest District Local Plan is submitted for independent examination

Yes

Signature: Roger Emmens Date: 19/01/2018

DISCLAIMER

This email is for the use of the intended recipients only. Any opinion or advice it contains is that of the sender and does not bind the authority in any way. If you have received it in error, please notify the sender

immediately and then delete the message. If you are not the intended recipient you must not use, disclose, copy or distribute this email. We have taken precautions to minimise the risk of transmitting software viruses, but we advise that you carry out your own virus checks on an attachment to this message. We cannot accept liability for any loss or damage caused by software viruses.

Internet email is not a secure communication medium, and we advise that you observe this lack of security when emailing us.

Epping Forest District Council Postmaster@Eppingforestdc.gov.uk