



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	ikeholder ID	2876	Name	Richard	GILBEY		
Method		Survey					
Da	te						
		elements of th	e full response suc	ch as formatting and	il's database of responses to the Dimages may not appear accurately Policy team: Idfconsult@eppingfor	. Should you wish to review	
Su	rvey Respor	nse:					
1.	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?						
	Strongly dis	agree					
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:						
	WHAT HAPF GREEN BELT		SERVING THE G	REEN BELT WITHI	THIS PLAN? I DONT SEE MUCH	I RESEPCT FOR OUR	
2.	Do you agree	e with the ov	erall vision that	the Draft Plan set	out for Epping Forest District?		
	Strongly dis	agree					
	Please expla	in your choic	e in Question 2:				
	green belt.	We don't hav		cture in place to	ois - this is hugely unfair and i upport these. The crossroads		
3.	Do you agree	e with the pr	oposals for deve	lopment around H	rlow?		
	Please expla	ain your choic	e in Question 3:				

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2876 Name Richard GILBEY





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in						
	Epping?						
	Yes						
	Buckhurst Hill?						
	Yes						
	Loughton Broadway?						
	Yes						
	Chipping Ongar?						
	lo opinion						
	Loughton High Road?						
	Yes						
	Waltham Abbey?						
	Yes						
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:						
	more shopping in existing shopping areas is good.						
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?						
	Strongly disagree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:						
	Any use of greenbelt land is unacceptable						

GILBEY

Stakeholder ID 2876 Name Richard





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

We are a small village with little infrastructure in the greenbelt, we have a busy road running from Abridge to The Wakes Arms and no lighting and real speed enforcement. The Tube Station is already over used in the morning and already at standing room only in peak hours. Don't get me started on doctors etc....

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2876 Name Richard GILBEY





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Nowhere near enough detail is given.

An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Our transport infrastructure can not cope with the demands already placed on them and the addition of more houses will only make this worse. This local draft plan can not be sustained.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2876 Name Richard GILBEY