



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	1529	Name	Devlin	Coulton
Method	Email			
Date	25/11/2016			

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Letter or Email Response:

Dear Sirs, I would like to formally lodge my complaint having recently been made aware of your plans to destroy Debden's green field areas, I note there are several fields proposed to be developed for housing including but not limited to the open fields near the college. As a resident of no ...REDACTED..., Debden for approx.. 5 years I strongly object to this. I would like a response to my email confirming my concerns have been lodge and what the next steps are / further actions I can take, I will also be researching this further myself. Areas of concern; Jessel Green, Rochford Green, Boards Lane areas & local carparks | I object for the following reasons; fields are used as playing & leisure areas by many of the residents, for children playing / walks / dog walking and so on Fields used by and part of the local wildlife -These fields are one of the reasons I moved to the area, they add to the open feel and nature of the whole neighbourhood, to remove ANY of these in full or part would destroy that and make it feel like many of the other in city housing estates -For the above reason I feel this action would devalue my property which im working hard to own -There are plenty of brown field or underutilised areas, I do applaud the fact you intend to finally revitalise the tube station area which is in bad need of this. Upon arriving via tube it is one of the worst arrival experiences to an area of any tube station! -I also understand you plan to build residents over existing carparks, again increasing the population density, can you confirm that these are all private As a 'forest' council I would of thought one of your residences or is it proposed to move in council tenants? prioritise would be to keep the area 'green' rather than tarmac / build over -Increasing the number of residents will in increase pollution, directly and indirectly -Increasing the number of residents will in increase the number of cars and traffic which is already bad at peak times through the area (I note your upgrading the roads but looking at these proposals they will not out weight the number or proposed new residents!) -Increasing the number of residents will put strain on local healthcare, education facilities, police, fire, refuge services and other amenities - I don't see any plans to increase these -You have or are planning to remove previous land covenants which I don't see as being in the greater interest of us your constituents -You are elected / employed to represent the existing local people, by doing this you are clearly not - I understand you may have a remit to provide additional housing to meet the needs of the greater London area but this should be done in a considered fashion consulting extensively with all affected. Unless I have missed this I don't recall seeing a letter or formal notification on this (I don't mean a notice in a paper or similar) -All of the above will lead to a less cohesive community and potentially increase the number of antisocial behaviour incidents and other such negative side affects -I would like to take the opportunity to remind the council that despite numerous objections from the LOCAL residents (who you represent?) who actually live in the area and used the Winston Churchill pub you took the decision to demolish this hub of the

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1529 Name Devlin Coulton





community and replace it with private residences instead in a towering obtrusive new building called the 'landmark' which will only serve to make the existing Broadway buildings look old. I thank you for your time and look forward to hearing back from you in the near future, please forgive any poor grammar above but my time is limited for this my initial action.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1529

Name Devlin

Coulton