



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	ikenolder ID	1934	Name	R	Salmon	
Ме	thod	Survey				
Da	te					
		elements of th	ne full response suc	h as formatting		to the Draft Local Plan Consultation curately. Should you wish to review ppingforestdc.gov.uk
Su	rvey Respoi	nse:				
1.	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?					
	Strongly disagree					
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 1:			
2.	Do you agree		verall vision that	the Draft Plan	sets out for Epping Forest [District?
	Please expla	ain your choid	ce in Question 2:			
3.	Do you agree	•	oposals for devel	opment arour	d Harlow?	
	0,5	•	ce in Question 3:			

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1934 Name R Salmon





4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in... Epping?

. . .

Buckhurst Hill?

Loughton Broadway?

Chipping Ongar?

No

Loughton High Road?

Waltham Abbey?

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

There has been infilling of houses in Ongar over recent years. I understand there are proposals to build on up to 9 areas within the town this will obviously destroy more green belt and will annoy a number of existing residents near the proposed sites. Ongar does not need more housing the traffic situation is getting worse, the

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1934 Name R Salmon





local doctors is saturated and local people canno get their children into schools of their choice. Leave Ongar alone.

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chiqwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1934 Name R Salmon