



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	3057	Name	Roy	Coombs		
Me	thod	Survey					
Dat	te						
		elements of the	e full response suc	ch as formatting a	uncil's database of responses to t nd images may not appear accura ng Policy team: <u>Idfconsult@eppir</u>	ately. Should you wish to review	
Su	rvey Respoi	nse:					
1.	Do you agree Strongly dis		erall vision that	the Draft Plan s	ets out for Epping Forest Distr	rict?	
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:						
	That's not the vision in the Local Plan. We would suffer Green Belt losses						
2.	Do you agree	e with the ov	erall vision that	the Draft Plan s	ets out for Epping Forest Distr	ict?	
	Strongly dis	agree					
	Please expla	in your choic	e in Question 2:				
	traffic throu	ugh and in th	e Village has in	creased substai	r people. We moved here 7 y ntially. The Primary School is here intolerable		
3.		e with the pro	oposals for deve	lopment around	Harlow?		
	Agree						
	•	-	e in Question 3:				
	But only if t	here is no er	ncrochment ont	o Green Belt la	nd.		

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3057 Name Roy Coombs





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in						
	Epping?						
	Yes						
	Buckhurst Hill?						
	No						
	Loughton Broadway?						
	Yes Chipping Ongar?						
	No						
	Loughton High Road?						
	Yes						
	Waltham Abbey?						
	Yes						
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:						
	Beware losing our small retailers. We do not all want to shop in "Westfield"						
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?						
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:						

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3057

Name Roy

Coombs





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Another +300 houses would destroy the village and the sites highlighted are not appropriate. That close to the station would add to the already overcrowded trains. With just one bus service through the Village there would be no other way to move people around. That at the end of Forest Drive is a daft idea, tucked away as it is and on Green Belt land. There is a sub-doctor surgery in the Village, which does not meet current needs. Add a further 1,000 people and the service would collapse. The Limes in Epping is the best seri, but even there, waiting times for an appointment are ever expanding. It was two weeks when we first moved into the Village; now its six weeks to see one's own GP.Redacted...., so it has a major impact on my life.

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3057 Name Roy Coombs





Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

The Plan is vague. Unless you are specific (how many more schools, GPs needed), you cannot be certain that your plan is sustainable.

- An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

An additional +300 houses would probably generate in the region of 600 cars. Have you visited Theydon Bois and seen just how congested it is already? Parking at the station is limited - don't look of a space after 0730. To park in the area next to Tesco's requires registration in the Queen Vic pub. Instead, drivers park on double yellow lines outside Tesco's and block off the line of sight for other drivers. That's why there are double yellows! Loughton Lane is dangerous - too narrow for the large/wide vehicles that use it. There's a major assign waiting to happen So that's it: one through road and a lane to Loughton. And you want to increase house numbers by about 25%. It would be like trying to squeeze a quart into a pint pot.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)