

# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	2039	Name	Mr Graham	Lyon
Method	Survey			
Date				

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: <a href="https://docs.org/licenses/lice

## Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

### Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

Pretty impossible job with 92% green belt

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District? Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2: see item 6

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow? No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

#### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





- 4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in... Epping?
  Buckhurst Hill?
  Loughton Broadway?
  Chipping Ongar?
  Loughton High Road?
  Waltham Abbey?
  Please explain your choice in Question 4:
- 5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

## No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

- 6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?
  - Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

#### No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

I do not agree with your statement "the council has considered the possible spatial options to accommodate new homes in grange hill involving intensification within existing settlement - provides opportunities to maximise existing urban brownfield land, Expansion of the current settlement of Limes Far - provide a natural extension to the settlement and would promote development in a sustainable location" In fact to recommend Limes Farm for expansion is contradictory to your statement. "Utilising open space within settlements where such selection would maintain adequate open space provision within the settlement and make best use of existing land without compromising local character" It fails on all accounts. I further comment 1. Dense

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2039





residential areas need reciprocal green spaces e.g. Harlow 2. Further building would exacerbate social problems on the estate. 3. Loss of recreational space and effect on quality of life 4. 210 homes would place an intolerable burden on already crowded roads, full tube trains, medical facilities, schools and other local services.

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

 Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan? No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

#### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)