

Stakeholder Reference:
Document Reference:

Part A

Making representation as Resident or Member of the General Public

Personal Details		Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	Mr	
First Name	John	
Last Name	Reynolds	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address		
Post Code		
Telephone Number		
E-mail Address		

Part B

REPRESENTATION

To which part of the Pre Submission Epping Forest District Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph:
Policy: P 4 Ongar
Policies Map:
Site Reference: ONG.R2
Settlement: Ongar

Do you consider this part of the Pre Submission Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: No
Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Consistent with national policy
Complies with the duty to co-operate? No

Please give details either of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate; or of why the Submission Version of the Local Plan is legally compliant, is sound or complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. Please use this box to set out your comments.

Together with the site ONGR1, the infill of ONGR2 joins settlements. The combined traffic flow from both sites would immediately cause problems with any interference with the already busy A414 main road.

Note that ONGR2 has no viable road access other than through ONGR1.

The agent working for 4 Global who prepared the report on sports requirements has ignored information provided that ONGR2 could provide an additional football/rugby pitch, which Ongar desperately needs.

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Pre Submission Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/ Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Historically, ONGR2 has been used as a public open space and sports field.

Continued use for sport, football or rugby, could be resurrected if ONGR1 is developed and road access provided for sport facilities on ONGR2.

If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral part of the oral examination

If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

To emphasise the fact that ONGR2 must be retained as a public open space.

In 2010, Ongar Town Council attempted to attain Village Green Status for this area.

Please let us know if you wish to be notified when the Epping Forest District Local Plan is submitted for independent examination

Yes

Signature: John R Reynolds Date: 28/01/2018

DISCLAIMER

This email is for the use of the intended recipients only. Any opinion or advice it contains is that of the sender and does not bind the authority in any way. If you have received it in error, please notify the sender immediately and then delete the message. If you are not the intended recipient you must not use, disclose, copy or distribute this email.

We have taken precautions to minimise the risk of transmitting software viruses, but we advise that you carry out your own virus checks on an attachment to this message. We cannot accept liability for any loss or damage caused by software viruses.

Internet email is not a secure communication medium, and we advise that you observe this lack of security when emailing us.

Epping Forest District Council

Postmaster@Eppingforestdc.gov.uk