

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3076 Name David Morreale

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

This plan is not for the people or for the current people who live in the district. There is barely any information on the infrastructure which isn't upto current scratch and has no chance in the future as many years of under investment has caught us up.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Harlow town wish to expand and are not.... There is derelict land which should be utilised instead of green belt land.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

The development is on green belt land around Harlow.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3076

Name David

Morreale

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

It's all about heavy good vehicles in which the area does not need anymore as they are a struggle already. Hoe land for example is unusually with such narrow roads...

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

You have ignored derelict and brownfield land and encouraged the destruction of Greenbelt land. Yet again the major issue of infrastructure has been ignored, have you ever travelled in rush hour via the nazeing crossroads? It's arguably the worst junction in the land can you make a few more hundred cars added to the village....Redacted.... What about public transport? Where are the schools in the area?? Have you tried getting a doctors appointment ??? It's a joke this plan it's not fit for purpose!!!

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

...Redacted...

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

This botched lan doesn't clearly demonstrate the need to build on precious Greenbelt land when there are other options. The village will be ruined if these hundreds of houses get built, it would feel like a cheap ...Redacted.... Love seeing wildlife on my doorstep now that will disappear and I'll get to see more cars and dysfunctional people.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Many of the residents in nazeing are near the end of their lifecycle, remember seeing one plan indicating a build sports pitches - there seems to be a total disregard for the target resident by EFDC. Feels sneaky much of this local plan is on the Internet and many of the elderly can't use it.... Remember more hours means more cars since it's impossible to get anywhere in nazeing without one - too many cars and lorries here already.
