



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	1623	Name	Marilyn	stanley		
Met	thod	Survey					
Dat	е						
		elements of th	e full response suc	h as formatting and		ne Draft Local Plan Consultation tely. Should you wish to review uforestdc.gov.uk	
Sur	vey Respo	nse:					
1.	Do you agre	e with the ov	erall vision that	the Draft Plan set	out for Epping Forest Distri	ct?	
	Strongly disagree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:						
			nely busy Road. ften at a standst		mmuter parking, I cannot p	ark outside my own house	
2.	, ,		verall vision that	the Draft Plan set	out for Epping Forest Distri	ct?	
	Strongly dis	•	co in Ouestian 2:				
	Please explain your choice in Question 2: I understand we need housing but not on green belt It is illegal.						
3.	Do you agre	e with the nr	onosals for deve	opment around H	arlow?		
J.	No opinion	e with the pi	oposais for deve	opinent around n	ii iow:		
	•	ain vour choid	ce in Question 3:				
	•	•	Problems in Epp	ping			

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1623 Name Marilyn stanley





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in
	Epping?
	No
	Buckhurst Hill?
	No opinion
	Loughton Broadway?
	No opinion
	Chipping Ongar?
	No opinion
	Loughton High Road?
	No opinion
	Waltham Abbey?
	No opinion
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
	No opinion
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1623 Name Marilyn

stanley





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Epping is already over populated and increased problems With commuter parking , the emergency service s cannot Get down my Road at peak times

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chiqwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1623 Name Marilyn stanley





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
 Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1623 Name Marilyn stanley